



## Almost purity for overconvergent Witt vectors

Davis, Christopher James; Kedlaya, Kiran

*Published in:*  
Journal of Algebra

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.08.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.08.055)

*Publication date:*  
2015

*Document version*  
Early version, also known as pre-print

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Davis, C. J., & Kedlaya, K. (2015). Almost purity for overconvergent Witt vectors. *Journal of Algebra*, 422, 373-412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.08.055>

# ALMOST PURITY FOR OVERCONVERGENT WITT VECTORS

CHRISTOPHER DAVIS AND KIRAN S. KEDLAYA

ABSTRACT. In a previous paper, we stated a general *almost purity theorem* in the style of Faltings: if  $R$  is a ring for which the Frobenius maps on finite  $p$ -typical Witt vectors over  $R$  are surjective, then the integral closure of  $R$  in a finite étale extension of  $R[p^{-1}]$  is “almost” finite étale over  $R$ . Here, we use almost purity to lift the finite étale extension of  $R[p^{-1}]$  to a finite étale extension of rings of *overconvergent Witt vectors*. The point is that no hypothesis of  $p$ -adic completeness is needed; this result thus points towards potential global analogues of  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. As an illustration, we construct  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules associated to Artin Motives over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules we construct are defined over a base ring which seems well-suited to generalization to a more global setting; we plan to pursue such generalizations in later work.

## INTRODUCTION

This paper builds on our previous paper [3] to take an initial step towards constructing a global analogue of  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. To explain what this assertion means, let us first recall some aspects of the usual  $p$ -adic theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules (see [2, Section 13.6] for a detailed description). Let  $K$  denote a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and put  $K_\infty := K(\mu_{p^\infty})$ . Let  $G_K$  and  $G_{K_\infty}$  denote the absolute Galois groups of  $K$  and  $K_\infty$ . Put  $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ ; it is isomorphic to an open subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . Let  $V$  denote a finite-dimensional  $p$ -adic representation of  $G_K$ . The theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules concerns replacing

- the  $p$ -adic representation  $V$ , which is a finite module over a nice ring (in our case,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ) equipped with an action by a complicated group (in our case,  $G_K$ )

with

- a finite module over a complicated ring equipped with an action by a single operator  $\varphi$  and the group  $\Gamma$ .

More precisely, for a certain choice of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ , the functor from  $G_{K_\infty}$ -representations to  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules is given by

$$V \rightsquigarrow (\mathbf{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_{K_\infty}}.$$

This gives a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{A}_K := \mathbf{A}^{G_{K_\infty}}$ .

Within this framework, there are multiple reasonable choices for the rings  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_K$ . However, the constructions of these rings in general depend rather heavily on the prime  $p$ . Our overarching goal, of which this paper represents a small part, is to define a base ring which serves a similar purpose, but where the dependence on  $p$  is concentrated in the choice of a norm (corresponding to the  $p$ -adic norm). This

---

*Date:* August 6, 2013.

Kedlaya was supported by NSF (grant DMS-1101343) and UCSD (Stefan E. Warschawski professorship).

provides hope for the possibility of globalizing the construction in a way that allows us to treat not only all primes simultaneously, but perhaps also the archimedean place as well.

Evidence that there might be a meaningful global theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules is provided in [9], which uses a special choice of base ring  $\mathbf{A}_K$  to keep track of a rational structure on de Rham cohomology. On the other hand, it is not clear how to adapt that construction to work for multiple primes  $p$  simultaneously.

In this paper, we describe a construction of *overconvergent Witt vectors* which we propose as a candidate ingredient for a global theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules. Our overconvergent Witt vectors are inverse limits of finite  $p$ -typical Witt vectors along Frobenius subject to a growth condition; when evaluated at a ring of characteristic  $p$ , we recover the definition used by Davis–Langer–Zink to describe rigid cohomology in terms of the de Rham–Witt complex [4, 5]. However, our present definition makes sense also for rings equipped with  $p$ -adic norms. For a ring  $R$  complete for such a norm, there is an isomorphism between the construction over  $R$  and the corresponding construction over an associated ring in characteristic  $p$  (the *tilt* in the sense of Scholze [11], which generalizes the Fontaine–Wintenberger *field of norms* construction). For a more general ring equipped with a  $p$ -adic norm, the overconvergent Witt vectors form a sort of *decompletion* of the corresponding ring over the  $p$ -adic completion.

The principal result of this paper (Theorem 7.1) asserts a compatibility between the formation of overconvergent Witt vectors and the formation of finite étale extensions. We view this as a variant of the *almost purity theorem* stated in our previous paper [3] (and based on work of Faltings [6], Kedlaya–Liu [10], and Scholze [11]). That theorem asserts that under a certain hypothesis on a ring  $R$ , the integral closure of  $R$  in a finite étale extension of  $R[p^{-1}]$  is *almost finite étale* over  $R$ ; roughly speaking, this means that the failure of this extension to be truly finite étale is negligible compared to any ideal of definition of the  $p$ -adic topology. The key condition on  $R$  is that it be *Witt-perfect*, meaning that the Frobenius maps on finite  $p$ -typical Witt vectors over  $R$  are surjective; this is closely related to the defining condition of *perfectoid algebras* used in [10] and [11].

To illustrate the intent of our work, in Section 8 we use overconvergent Witt vectors to give an explicit construction analogous to the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module construction in the special case of *Artin motives*, i.e., under the special assumption that our action of  $G_K$  on  $V$  factors through a finite subgroup. This is done in Theorem 8.5. The main result required by our  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module construction is Theorem 7.1.

It is worth comparing this construction with that of [9]. On one hand, the construction there uses a ring even smaller than the one considered here, and is able to handle motives other than Artin motives. On the other hand, our construction is better set up for globalization by replacing  $p$ -typical Witt vectors with big Witt vectors; it is also formulated so as to allow replacement of  $p$ -adic norms with other norms, possibly even archimedean norms. A pressing question for future work is to find a natural way to combine the constructions so as to retain the advantages on both sides.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank Laurent Berger, James Borger, Bryden Cais, Lars Hesselholt, Abhinav Kumar, Ruochuan Liu, Tommy Occhipinti, Joe Rabinoff, Daqing Wan, and Liang Xiao for helpful discussions and suggestions.

## 1. BACKGROUND ON WITT VECTORS

**Hypothesis 1.1.** By convention, all rings considered in this paper are commutative and unital, and ring homomorphisms send 1 to 1.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the multiplicative monoid of positive integers. For  $p$  a prime number fixed throughout the paper, let  $P \subset \mathbb{N}$  denote the multiplicative monoid of powers of  $p$ , i.e.,  $P = \{1, p, p^2, \dots\}$ . Let **Set**, **Ab**, **Ring** denote the categories of sets, abelian groups, and rings, respectively.

Let  $\bullet^P : \mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ring}$  denote the functor  $R \mapsto R^P$  for the product ring structure on  $R^P$ ; we will use the same notation to denote the underlying functors  $\mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  and  $\mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ . Let  $F : \bullet^P \rightarrow \bullet^P$  be the natural transformation of functors  $\mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ring}$  given by left shift:

$$(x_1, x_p, \dots) \mapsto (x_p, x_{p^2}, \dots).$$

Let  $V : \bullet^P \rightarrow \bullet^P$  be the natural transformation of functors  $\mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  given by

$$(x_1, x_p, x_{p^2}, \dots) \mapsto (0, px_1, px_p, \dots).$$

**Definition 1.3.** Define the functor  $W_0 : \mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  by the formula  $R \mapsto R^P$ . Of course, this is the same as the functor  $\bullet^P$  viewed as a functor  $\mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ . Define the *ghost map* as the natural transformation  $w : W_0 \rightarrow \bullet^P$  by the formula

$$(x_1, x_p, \dots) \mapsto (w_1, w_p, \dots), \quad w_{p^n} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} p^i x_{p^{n-i}}.$$

**Theorem 1.4.** (a) *There is a unique way to promote  $W_0$  to a functor  $W : \mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ring}$  in such a way that  $w : W \rightarrow \bullet^P$  is a natural transformation.*  
 (b) *There is a unique natural transformation  $F : W \rightarrow W$  such that  $w \circ F = F \circ w$ .*  
 (c) *The formula*

$$(x_1, x_p, x_{p^2}, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_1, x_p, \dots)$$

*defines a natural transformation  $V : W \rightarrow W$  of functors  $\mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  satisfying  $w \circ V = V \circ w$ .*

*Proof.* For part (a), see [12, Chapter II, Theorem 6]. For parts (b) and (c), see [7, Sections 0.1.1–0.1.3].  $\square$

We will be defining norms and norm-like functions on  $p$ -typical Witt vectors over normed rings. To prove that these functions really behave like norms, we will have to study the effect of addition and multiplication in terms of components. The most convenient way to study these Witt vector components is via ghost components.

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_p, \dots]$  and fix  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $\underline{z} \in W(R)$  is such that for all  $n$ , the  $p^n$ -th ghost component of  $\underline{z}$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $ap^n$  under the weighting in which the variable  $x_{p^i}$  has weight  $p^i$ . Then the  $p^n$ -th Witt component of  $\underline{z}$ , written  $z_{p^n}$ , is also a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $ap^n$ .*

*Proof.* We prove this using induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 0$ , the result is trivial, because  $w_{p^0} = z_{p^0}$ . To complete the induction, note that

$$p^{n+1} z_{p^{n+1}} = w_{p^{n+1}} - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} p^i z_{p^i}^{p^{n+1-i}}.$$

Everything on the right side is homogeneous of degree  $ap^{n+1}$ , and hence so is  $z_{p^{n+1}}$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate application of Lemma 1.5.

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $R$  denote a ring, and let  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in W(R)$ .*

- (a) *The  $p^i$ -th component of  $\underline{x} + \underline{y}$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $p^i$  in  $x_1, x_p, \dots, y_1, y_p, \dots$ , under the weighting in which the variables  $x_{p^i}, y_{p^i}$  have weight  $p^i$ .*
- (b) *The  $p^i$ -th component of  $\underline{x} \cdot \underline{y}$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $2p^i$ .*
- (b') *The  $p^i$ -th component of  $\underline{x} \cdot \underline{y}$  is a homogeneous polynomial in the  $x$ -variables (respectively, in the  $y$ -variables) of degree  $p^i$  (respectively, of degree  $p^i$ ).*
- (c) *The  $p^i$ -th component of  $F(\underline{x})$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $p^{i+1}$ .*

In [3], the Witt-vector Frobenius map  $F$  was studied extensively. We recall now some of the most important concepts and results from that paper. We begin with a more precise description of the components of Frobenius. For the proof, see [3, Lemma 1.4(a)].

**Lemma 1.7.** *Take  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in W(R)$  with  $F(\underline{x}) = \underline{y}$ . Then for each  $p^i$ , we have*

$$y_{p^i} = x_{p^i}^p + px_{p^{i+1}} + pf_{p^i}(x_1, \dots, x_{p^i}),$$

where  $f_{p^i}(x_1, \dots, x_{p^i})$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients not involving the variable  $x_{p^{i+1}}$ .

**Definition 1.8.** For  $p$  a prime, a ring  $A$  is *Witt-perfect at  $p$*  if for all positive integers  $n$ , the map  $F : W_{p^{n+1}}(A) \rightarrow W_{p^n}(A)$  is surjective. By [3, Theorem 3.2], it is equivalent to require that the  $p$ -th power map on  $A/pA$  is surjective and that for every  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in A$  such that

$$b^p \equiv pa \pmod{p^2}.$$

One important consequence is that the condition that  $A$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$  depends only on  $A/p^2A$ ; for instance, this implies that  $A$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$  if and only if  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ . See the aforementioned theorem for various other equivalent formulations of the Witt-perfect condition.

The preceding comments make the following lemma clear. We will use it repeatedly in what follows.

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $A$  be a ring which is Witt-perfect at a prime  $p$ . Then there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in A$  such that  $x_1^p \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$  and  $x_{n+1}^p \equiv x_n \pmod{p}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .*

## 2. ALMOST PURITY

We next set up the context for the general almost purity theorem stated in [3], giving a somewhat more detailed exposition than in [3, Theorem 5.2].

**Hypothesis 2.1.** Throughout §2, fix a prime number  $p$  and let  $A$  be a  $p$ -normal ring (see Definition 2.2).

**Definition 2.2.** A ring  $A$  is  *$p$ -normal* if it is  $p$ -torsion-free and integrally closed in  $A_p := A[p^{-1}]$ . By a  *$p$ -ideal* in  $A$ , we will mean an ideal  $I$  for which  $I^n \subseteq (p)$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

The following lemma should be thought of as providing explicit generators for the ideal of “elements of  $p$ -adic valuation at least  $\frac{m}{p^n}$ ” for  $\frac{m}{p^n} \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $A$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ , and choose  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in A$  as in Lemma 1.9. Then*

$$\{x \in A : x^{p^n} \in p^m A\} = (x_n^m, p)A \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots; m = 1, 2, \dots, p^n).$$

*Proof.* We proceed by descending induction on  $m$ . For the base case  $m = p^n$ , we observe that  $\{x \in A : x^{p^n} \in p^{p^n} A\} = pA$  because  $A$  is integrally closed in  $A_p$  by hypothesis. Given the claim for  $m+1$ , if  $x \in A$  satisfies  $x^{p^n} \in p^m A$ , we may write  $x^{p^n} = p^m a$  and then choose  $b \in A$  with  $b^{p^n} \equiv -a \pmod{pA}$ . We then have  $x^{p^n} \equiv -(x_n^m b)^{p^n} \pmod{p^{m+1}A}$ . Now write

$$(x + x_n^m b)^{p^n} = x^{p^n} + (x_n^m b)^{p^n} + \sum_{i=1}^{p^n-1} \binom{p^n}{i} x^i (x_n^m b)^{p^n-i}$$

and observe that  $(x^i (x_n^m b)^{p^n-i})^{p^n} \in p^{mp^n} A$ , so by repeated application of the base case we have  $x^i (x_n^m b)^{p^n-i} \in p^m A$ . It follows that  $(x + x_n^m b)^{p^n} \in p^{m+1} A$ , so by the induction hypothesis  $x + x_n^m b \in (x_n^{m+1}, p)A$ . This completes the induction.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *With notation as in Lemma 2.3, the ideals  $(x_n, p)$  in  $A$  form a cofinal sequence among  $p$ -ideals. Moreover, if  $A$  is  $p$ -adically complete, the ideals  $(x_n)$  and  $(x_n, p)$  coincide.*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $I$  be the nilradical of  $A$ . Then  $I = IA_p$  and this is the nilradical of  $A_p$ . Moreover, the map  $A_p/I \rightarrow (A/I)_p$  is bijective and  $A/I$  is  $p$ -normal.*

*Proof.* Note first that every element of  $IA_p$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and so belongs to  $A$  because  $A$  is  $p$ -normal. It is clear that  $IA_p$  is the nilradical of  $A_p$ ,  $A/I$  is  $p$ -torsion-free, and  $A_p/I \rightarrow (A/I)_p$  is bijective. It remains to check that  $A/I$  is integrally closed in  $(A/I)_p \cong A_p/I$ ; for this, choose  $x \in A_p/I$  to be a root of the monic polynomial  $P(T) \in (A/I)[T]$ . Lift  $x$  to  $y \in A_p$  and lift  $P(T)$  to the monic polynomial  $Q(T) \in A[T]$ . We then have  $Q(y) \in I$ , so  $y$  is integral over  $A$ ; therefore  $y \in A$  and  $x \in A/I$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in a finite étale extension of  $A_p$ .*

- (a) *The map  $\text{Trace}_{B_p/A_p}$  carries  $B$  into  $A$ .*
- (b) *For any finite set  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  of elements of  $B$  which generates  $B_p$  as an  $A_p$ -module, the quotient  $B/(Ab_1 + \dots + Ab_n)$  is killed by some power of  $p$ .*

*Proof.* To check (a), we may use the fact that every ring is the union of subrings which are finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$  to reduce to the case where  $A$  is noetherian. We may then use Lemma 2.5 to replace  $A$  with its reduced quotient. Since now  $A$  is noetherian and reduced, it has finitely many minimal prime ideals, none of which contain  $p$  because the latter is not a zero-divisor. We may thus replace  $A$  with the product of the quotients by the minimal prime ideals, then by an individual factor of the product. At this point, we need only treat the case where  $A$  is an integral domain; we may also assume that  $B$  is an integral domain. Let  $K$  and  $L$  be the respective fraction fields of  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $M$  be a Galois closure of  $L$  over  $K$ . For any  $x \in B$ , the conjugates of  $x$  in  $M$  satisfy every integral relation over  $A$  satisfied by  $x$  itself, and are thus integral over  $A$ . Consequently, any sum of

Galois conjugates of  $x$  is also integral over  $A$ , but this implies that  $\text{Trace}_{B_p/A_p}(x)$  is integral over  $A$ . Hence  $\text{Trace}_{B_p/A_p}(x)$  is in  $A$ , which proves the claim.

To check (b), let  $F$  be the free module over  $A$  generated by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . Let  $F^* := \text{Hom}_A(F, A)$  be the dual module and let  $e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*$  be the corresponding dual basis of  $F^*$ . We then have the identity

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i \quad (x \in F_p).$$

Let  $\pi : F \rightarrow B$  be the  $A$ -module homomorphism taking  $e_i$  to  $b_i$ , and choose an  $A_p$ -linear splitting  $\iota : B_p \rightarrow F_p$  of  $\pi$ . We then have

$$b = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(\iota(b)) b_i \quad (b \in B).$$

Since  $B_p$  is finite étale over  $A_p$ , the trace pairing on  $B_p$  is perfect; consequently, there exists  $t_i \in B_p$  such that  $e_i^*(\iota(b)) = \text{Trace}_{B_p/A_p}(b t_i)$  for all  $b \in B_p$ . Choose a nonnegative integer  $m$  for which  $p^m t_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ; then

$$p^m b = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Trace}_{B_p/A_p}(b p^m t_i) b_i \quad (b \in B).$$

By (a), the quantities  $\text{Trace}_{B_p/A_p}(b p^m t_i)$  belong to  $A$ ; it follows that  $p^m$  kills  $B/(Ab_1 + \dots + Ab_n)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $B$  be an  $A$ -module and fix an  $A$ -module endomorphism  $t$  of  $B$ . Suppose that for some  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\pi : F \rightarrow B$  with  $F$  finite free, there exists an  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\iota : B \rightarrow F$  such that  $\pi \circ \iota = t$ . Then for any other  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\pi' : F' \rightarrow B$  with  $F'$  finite free and with  $\text{image}(\pi) \subseteq \text{image}(\pi')$ , there exists an  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\iota' : B \rightarrow F'$  such that  $\pi' \circ \iota' = t$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is free and  $\text{image}(\pi) \subseteq \text{image}(\pi')$ , we may construct a homomorphism  $F \rightarrow F'$  such that the composition  $F \rightarrow F' \rightarrow B$  coincides with  $\pi$ . We then compose  $B \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'$  to get the map  $\iota'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in a finite étale extension of  $A_p$ . Let  $A', B'$  be the  $p$ -adic completions of  $A, B$ . Choose  $a \in A$ .*

- (a) *Suppose that there exist a finite free  $A'$ -module  $F'$  and an  $A'$ -module homomorphism  $F' \rightarrow B'$  with the following property: we can find another  $A'$ -module homomorphism  $B' \rightarrow F'$  such that the composition  $B' \rightarrow F' \rightarrow B'$  is multiplication by  $a$ . Then this can also be achieved with  $F' \rightarrow B'$  being the base extension of an  $A$ -module homomorphism  $F \rightarrow B$ , with  $F$  a finite free  $A$ -module.*
- (b) *Let  $F \rightarrow B$  be an  $A$ -module homomorphism satisfying the conclusion of (a). Then there exists an  $A$ -module homomorphism  $B \rightarrow F$  such that the composition  $B \rightarrow F \rightarrow B$  is multiplication by  $a$ .*

*Proof.* To prove (a), note that by Lemma 2.6, we can find a nonnegative integer  $m$  and some elements  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  of  $B$  such that  $p^m B \subseteq b_1 A + \dots + b_k A$ ; we then also have  $p^m B' \subseteq b_1 A' + \dots + b_k A'$ . For this choice of  $m$ , write the images in  $B'$  of the basis elements of  $F'$  as  $t_1 + p^m u_1, \dots, t_h + p^m u_h$  with  $t_i \in B$ ,  $u_i \in B'$ . Let  $G \rightarrow B$  be the  $A$ -module homomorphism with  $G$  a finite free  $A$ -module whose basis

elements map to  $b_1, \dots, b_k, t_1, \dots, t_h$ , and put  $G' := G \otimes_A A'$ . We may then apply Lemma 2.7 to conclude.

To prove (b), let  $T$  be the set of  $A_p$ -module homomorphisms  $B_p \rightarrow F_p$  such that the composition  $B_p \rightarrow F_p \rightarrow B_p$  is multiplication by  $a$ . This set is nonempty because  $B_p$  is a finite projective  $A_p$ -module. Choose an element  $t_0 \in T$ ; the map  $t \mapsto t - t_0$  then identifies  $T$  with the set of homomorphisms  $B_p \rightarrow \ker(F_p \rightarrow B_p)$ , which we may view as a finite projective  $A_p$ -module. Let  $T'$  be the set of  $A'_p$ -module homomorphisms  $B'_p \rightarrow F'_p$  such that the composition  $B'_p \rightarrow F'_p \rightarrow B'_p$  is multiplication by  $a$ ; the map  $t \mapsto t - t_0$  then identifies  $T'$  with  $T \otimes_{A_p} A'_p$ . Since the conclusion of (a) has been assumed, there exists an element  $t'$  of  $T'$  which carries  $B'$  into  $F'$ ; by Lemma 2.6, every element in a sufficiently small  $p$ -adic neighborhood of  $t'$  also has this property. We can thus find  $t \in T$  which carries  $B'$  into  $F'$  and thus carries  $B$  into  $F$ . This gives the desired maps  $B \rightarrow F \rightarrow B$ , proving (b).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9** (Almost purity). *Assume that  $A$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ . Let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in a finite étale extension of  $A_p$ .*

- (a) *The ring  $B$  is again Witt-perfect at  $p$ .*
- (b) *For every  $p$ -ideal  $I$ , there exist a finite free  $A$ -module  $F$  and an  $A$ -module homomorphism  $F \rightarrow B$  whose cokernel is killed by  $I$ .*
- (c) *For any data as in (b), for each  $t \in I$  there exists an  $A$ -module homomorphism  $B \rightarrow F$  such that the composition  $B \rightarrow F \rightarrow B$  equals multiplication by  $t$ .*
- (d) *The trace pairing map  $B \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_A(B, A)$  (which exists by Lemma 2.6) is injective and its cokernel is killed by every  $p$ -ideal of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Part (a) is [3, Theorem 5.2(a)]. We deduce the other parts as follows.

Choose  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in A$  as in Lemma 1.9. Let  $A_0$  be the subring of  $A_p$  consisting of those  $x$  for which  $px^n \in A$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As in the proof of [3, Theorem 5.2(a)],  $A_0$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ . Let  $B_0$  be the integral closure of  $A_0$  in  $B_p$ . Let  $A', B'$  be the  $p$ -adic completions of  $A_0, B_0$ ; note that  $B' = A' \otimes_A B$  and that  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are units in  $A'_p$ . By [10, Theorem 5.5.9] or [11, Theorem 5.25], for each sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist a finite free  $A'$ -module  $F'$  and  $A'$ -module homomorphisms  $B' \rightarrow F' \rightarrow B'$  whose composition is multiplication by  $x_{n+1}$ . By Lemma 2.8(a), we may ensure that  $F' \rightarrow B'$  is the base extension of a homomorphism  $F_0 \rightarrow B_0$  of  $A$ -modules whose cokernel is killed by  $x_{n+1}$ . Since  $x_{n+1}^{p-1} B_0 \subseteq B$ , after multiplying by  $x_{n+1}^{p-1}$  we may descend to a homomorphism  $F \rightarrow B$  of  $A$ -modules whose cokernel is killed by  $x_{n+1}^p$ . Using Lemma 2.6, we may add generators to force the cokernel to be killed also by  $p^m$  for some nonnegative integer  $m$ . Since the ideals  $(x_{n+1}^p, p^m) = (x_n, p)$  are cofinal among  $p$ -ideals, this yields (b).

Continuing with notation as above, by Lemma 2.8, there also exist a finite free  $A_0$ -module  $F_0$  and  $A_0$ -module homomorphisms  $B_0 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow B_0$  whose composition is multiplication by  $x_{n+1}$ . If we multiply the map  $B_0 \rightarrow F_0$  by  $x_{n+1}^{p-1}$ , the result carries  $B$  into  $F$ ; we thus obtain a finite free  $A$ -module  $F$  and  $A$ -module homomorphisms  $B \rightarrow F \rightarrow B$  whose composition is multiplication by  $x_{n+1}^p$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6, we can make a different choice of  $B \rightarrow F$  such that the composition  $B \rightarrow F \rightarrow B$  is multiplication by  $p^m$  for some nonnegative integer  $m$ . We can thus arrange for the composition to equal multiplication by  $t$  for any  $t \in (x_{n+1}^p, p^m) = (x_n, p)$ . By Lemma 2.8(b) and the fact that the ideals  $(x_n, p)$  are cofinal among  $p$ -ideals, we deduce (c).



To prove (d), note that  $B \otimes_A B$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$  and that  $B_p$  is finite projective as a module over  $B_p \otimes_{A_p} B_p \cong (B \otimes_A B)_p$  via the multiplication map. We may thus apply (b) and (c) with  $A$  replaced by  $B \otimes_A B$  to deduce (d).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free ring which is integrally closed in  $A_{\mathbb{Q}} := A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in a finite étale extension of  $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . If  $A$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ , then so is  $B$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.9 and the fact that Witt-perfectness at  $p$  can be checked after inverting all primes other than  $p$ .  $\square$

### 3. INVERSE LIMITS

We next introduce a formal generalization of finite length Witt vectors by taking an inverse limit under the Witt vector Frobenius map. Each of these new objects possesses an infinite sequence of ghost components

$$\dots, w_{p^{-2}}, w_{p^{-1}}, w_1.$$

Here is the formal definition.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\varprojlim (R)$  denote the inverse limit of the inverse system consisting of one copy of the ring  $W_{p^n}(R)$  for each  $n \geq 0$ , and one transition map

$$F^{n_2 - n_1} : W_{p^{n_2}}(R) \rightarrow W_{p^{n_1}}(R)$$

for each  $n_2 \geq n_1 \geq 0$ . For any  $n \geq 0$ , we define the  $(p^{-n})$ -th ghost map

$$w_{p^{-n}} : \varprojlim (R) \rightarrow R$$

by projecting to the first Witt-component of  $W_{p^n}(R)$ . By the definition of the Frobenius map in terms of ghost components, this is equivalent to defining  $w_{p^{-n}}$  to be the composition of the projection of  $\varprojlim (R) \rightarrow W_{p^{n+i}}(R)$  followed by the  $p^i$ -th ghost map  $w_{p^i}$  for any  $i \geq 0$ ; the case  $i = 0$  is exactly our definition.

**Remark 3.2.** There is a standard description of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors as an inverse limit of finite length Witt vectors. The ring we construct is typically very different, because our transition maps are the Witt vector Frobenius maps, not restriction. For example, using the Dwork lemma one can show that  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , while  $W(\mathbb{Z})$  is uncountable.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any ring  $R$  and any positive integer  $m$ , the map induced by functoriality  $\varprojlim (R/(p^{m+1})) \rightarrow \varprojlim (R/(p^m))$  is bijective.*

*Proof.* Let  $\underline{x}$  denote an arbitrary element in  $\varprojlim (R/(p^m))$ , and let  $\underline{x}_{p^{-i}}$  denote the corresponding element in  $W_{p^i}(R/(p^m))$ . For each  $i$ , choose an auxiliary lift

$$\underline{z}_{p^{-i}} \in W_{p^{i+1}}(R/(p^{m+1}))$$

of  $\underline{x}_{p^{-i}}$  by first lifting to  $W_{p^i}(R/(p^{m+1}))$  and then lifting to  $W_{p^{i+1}}(R/(p^{m+1}))$  along the natural restriction map (not along Frobenius). By Lemma 1.7 and induction on  $n$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, m+1$ , the components of  $F^n(\underline{z}_{p^{-i}})$  are uniquely determined modulo  $p^n$  by the element  $\underline{x}$ . Thus the element

$$\underline{y}_{p^{-i}} := F^{m+1}(\underline{z}_{p^{-(i+m+2)}}) \in W_{p^{i+1}}(R/(p^{m+1}))$$

does not depend on the choices of the lifts  $z_{p^{-i}}$ . To check that the sequence  $(y_{p^{-i}})$  is a coherent sequence under Frobenius, we must check that

$$F(y_{p^{-(i+1)}}) = y_{p^{-i}}.$$

In other words, we must check that

$$F(F^{m+1}(z_{p^{-(i+m+3)}})) = F^{m+1}(z_{p^{-(i+m+2)}}).$$

Because  $F(z_{p^{-(i+m+3)}})$  is itself a lift of  $z_{p^{-(i+m+2)}}$ , we may assume  $F(z_{p^{-(i+m+3)}}) = z_{p^{-(i+m+2)}}$ , and the claim follows.

For any element  $\underline{x} \in \varprojlim W(R/(p^m))$ , we have constructed a lift in  $\varprojlim W(R/(p^{m+1}))$ . We have also seen that the components of such a lift are uniquely determined, which completes the proof that the natural map  $\varprojlim W(R/(p^{m+1})) \rightarrow \varprojlim W(R/(p^m))$  is a bijection.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a ring which is  $p$ -adically complete. Then the natural map  $\varprojlim W(R) \rightarrow \varprojlim W(R/(p))$  is an isomorphism.*

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose that the ring  $R$  is of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $R'$  be the inverse limit of  $R$  under the  $p$ -power Frobenius endomorphism  $\varphi$ .*

- (a) *The natural projection  $\varprojlim W(R') \rightarrow \varprojlim W(R)$  is an isomorphism.*
- (b) *The map  $F$  is bijective on  $\varprojlim W(R')$ , so there are natural isomorphisms  $W(R') \cong \varprojlim_F W(R') \cong \varprojlim W(R')$ .*

*Proof.* Both claims follow easily from the equality  $F = W(\varphi)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $R$  be a ring which is  $p$ -adically complete. Let  $R'$  be the inverse limit of  $R/(p)$  under the  $p$ -power Frobenius endomorphism. Form the composition*

$$W(R') \cong \varprojlim W(R') \cong \varprojlim W(R/(p)) \cong \varprojlim W(R) \rightarrow W_1(R) = R$$

*in which the first isomorphism is the identification of Lemma 3.5(b), the second isomorphism is the identification of Lemma 3.5(a), the third map is the identification of Corollary 3.4, and the fourth map is the projection onto the final term of the inverse system. The result is the usual theta map  $\theta : W_p(R') \rightarrow R$  of  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, which intervenes in the construction of Fontaine's  $p$ -adic period rings; for example, see [2, §4.4]. This ring homomorphism  $\theta$  is surjective if  $R$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ .*

*Proof.* The last statement, that  $\theta$  is surjective if  $R$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ , is obvious: By the definition of Witt-perfect, any element in  $R = W_1(R)$  can be prolonged into an element of  $\varprojlim W(R)$ , hence the map  $\varprojlim W(R) \rightarrow R$  is surjective, and hence so is the composite  $W(R') \rightarrow R$ .

To prove the first statement, we need of course to recall the usual definition of  $\theta : W(R') \rightarrow R$ :

$$\theta : \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i [x_{p^i}] \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \tilde{x}_{p^i}^{(p^n)} \right)^{p^n},$$

where  $x_{p^i}^{(p^n)} \in R/p$  is the  $p^n$ -th component in the inverse system corresponding to  $x_{p^i} \in R' = \varprojlim R/p$ , and where  $\tilde{x}_{p^i}^{(p^n)} \in R$  is any lift. The authors thank Ruochuan

Liu for the following proof that this agrees with the description of  $\theta$  from the statement of the theorem.

Let  $\underline{y} \in \varprojlim W(R)$  denote the element corresponding to  $\underline{x} \in W(R')$  under the above composition of isomorphisms. Tracing through the definitions of these maps, we find that for our lift  $\tilde{x}_{p^i}^{(p^n)}$ , we may take  $y_{p^{-n-i}p^i}$ . Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \tilde{x}_{p^i}^{(p^n)} \right)^{p^n} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( y_{p^{-n-i}p^i} \right)^{p^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n p^i \left( y_{p^{-n-i}p^i} \right)^{p^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n p^i \left( y_{p^{-n}p^i} \right)^{p^{n-i}}. \end{aligned}$$

This latter term is exactly the  $p^n$ -th ghost component of  $\underline{y}_{p^{-n}}$ . Because the Witt vectors  $y_{p^{-n}}$  form a compatible system under the Witt vector Frobenius, the  $p^n$ -th ghost component of  $\underline{y}_{p^{-n}}$  is actually independent of  $n$ . In particular, we may take  $n = 0$ , which corresponds to the projection  $\underline{y} \mapsto y_1$ , as required.  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** For general  $R$ , the ring  $\varprojlim W(R)$  admits an inverse Frobenius map  $F^{-1}$  taking  $\underline{x} = (\underline{x}_{p^{-n}})_{n=0}^{\infty}$  to the inverse system with  $\underline{x}_{p^{-n-1}}$  restricted to  $W_{p^n}(R)$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . In general,  $\varprojlim W(R)$  does not admit a Frobenius map.

#### 4. NORMS ON RINGS

Before continuing, we need to set some notation and terminology concerning norms on rings.

**Definition 4.1.** For  $A \in \mathbf{Ab}$ , a *nonarchimedean seminorm* on  $A$  is a function  $|\bullet| : A \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $|0| = 0$  and satisfying the strong triangle inequality  $|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . We say two seminorms  $|\bullet|_1, |\bullet|_2$  on  $R$  are *metrically equivalent* (or just *equivalent* when this is unambiguous) if there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that for all  $x \in A$ ,  $|x|_1 \leq c_1 |x|_2$  and  $|x|_2 \leq c_2 |x|_1$ . A seminorm  $|\bullet|$  is a *norm* if the inverse image of 0 is equal to  $\{0\}$ . For example, any seminorm which is metrically equivalent to a norm is itself a norm.

**Definition 4.2.** For  $R \in \mathbf{Ring}$ , a seminorm  $|\bullet|$  on  $R$  is *submultiplicative* if for all  $x, y \in R$ ,  $|xy| \leq |x| |y|$ . Note that if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|xy| \leq c |x| |y|$ , then  $|\bullet|$  is metrically equivalent to the submultiplicative seminorm  $c^{-1} |\bullet|$ .

The seminorm  $|\bullet|$  is *power-multiplicative* if it is submultiplicative and additionally for all  $x \in R$ ,  $|x^2| \geq |x|^2$ . This implies that  $|x^n| = |x|^n$  for all  $x \in R$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; in particular, if  $R$  is nonzero and the norm  $|\bullet|$  is nonzero, then  $|1| = 1$ . We say  $|\bullet|$  is *uniform* if it is metrically equivalent to a power-multiplicative seminorm.

The seminorm  $|\bullet|$  is *multiplicative* if for all  $x, y \in R$ ,  $|xy| = |x| |y|$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $A$  be a  $p$ -normal ring. We define the  *$p$ -adic seminorm* on  $R := A_p$  as the power-multiplicative nonarchimedean seminorm  $|\bullet|$  on  $R$  computed as follows: for  $x \in R$ ,  $|x|$  is the infimum of  $p^{-c/d}$  over all  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $d > 0$  and  $p^{-c}x^d \in A$ . Note that  $A$  is contained in the subring of  $R$  of elements of  $p$ -adic norm

at most 1, but these need not coincide. On the other hand, every element of  $R$  of norm strictly less than 1 does belong to  $A$ .

**Definition 4.4.** By an *analytic field*, we will mean a field  $K$  equipped with a multiplicative norm under which it is complete. We say  $K$  is *nonarchimedean* if its norm is nonarchimedean.

We will also need Berkovich's generalization of the Gel'fand representation theorem in the proof of Proposition 5.6 below.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $R$  be a ring equipped with a power-multiplicative nonarchimedean norm. Then there exists an isometric embedding of  $R$  into a product of fields such that each field is equipped with a multiplicative nonarchimedean norm and the product is equipped with the supremum norm.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $R$  is complete, in which case see [1, Theorem 1.3.1].  $\square$

## 5. NORMS ON WITT VECTORS

**Hypothesis 5.1.** Throughout §5, suppose that  $R \in \mathbf{Ring}$  is equipped with a nonarchimedean submultiplicative seminorm  $|\bullet|$ .

**Definition 5.2.** We define the function  $|\bullet|_W$  on  $W(R)$  by the formula

$$|(x_{p^n})_{n \geq 0}|_W = \sup \left\{ |x_{p^n}|^{1/p^n} : n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Similarly for  $(x_{p^n})_{0 \leq n \leq r} \in W_{p^r}(R)$ , we put

$$|(x_{p^n})_{0 \leq n \leq r}|_W = \sup \left\{ |x_{p^n}|^{1/p^n} : 0 \leq n \leq r \right\}.$$

**Remark 5.3.** We will make frequent use of two trivial observations. On one hand, for any  $n \geq 0$ , any ring  $R$ , and any  $\underline{x} \in W(R)$  projecting to  $\underline{y} \in W_{p^n}(R)$ , we have  $|\underline{x}|_W \geq |\underline{y}|_W$ . On the other hand, any  $\underline{y} \in W_{p^n}(R)$  lifts to some  $\underline{x} \in W(R)$  with  $|\underline{x}|_W = |\underline{y}|_W$ , e.g., by padding with zeroes.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in W(R)$ . We have the following:*

- (a)  $|\underline{x} + \underline{y}|_W \leq \max\{|\underline{x}|_W, |\underline{y}|_W\}$ ;
- (b)  $|\underline{xy}|_W \leq |\underline{x}|_W |\underline{y}|_W$ ;
- (c)  $|F(\underline{x})|_W \leq |\underline{x}|_W^p$ ;
- (d)  $|V(\underline{x})|_W = |\underline{x}|_W^{1/p}$ .

*Proof.* We first prove (a). Let  $M = \max\{|\underline{x}|_W, |\underline{y}|_W\}$ . We are done if we show that the  $p^i$ -th component of  $\underline{x} + \underline{y}$  has norm at most  $M^{p^i}$ . We know the same is true for the components of  $\underline{x}$  and  $\underline{y}$  individually, and so we are done by Corollary 1.6, together with the fact that the seminorm on  $R$  is submultiplicative. (Because the coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and our seminorm is nonarchimedean, the coefficients can be ignored for this calculation.)

The proof of (b) is similar. By Corollary 1.6 again, the  $p^i$ -th component of  $\underline{xy}$  is a product of a homogeneous degree  $p^i$ -polynomial in the  $x$ -variables with a homogeneous degree  $p^i$ -polynomial in the  $y$ -variables (with our usual weighting). We are now finished because the seminorm on  $R$  is nonarchimedean and submultiplicative.

One proves (c) similarly. The proof of (d), on the other hand, is apparent from the direct expression of Verschiebung in terms of Witt components given in Theorem 1.4(c).  $\square$

Using Remark 5.3, this proposition easily adapts to finite length Witt vectors.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in W_{p^n}(R)$ . We have the following:*

- (a)  $|\underline{x} + \underline{y}|_W \leq \max\{|\underline{x}|_W, |\underline{y}|_W\};$
- (b)  $|\underline{xy}|_W \leq |\underline{x}|_W |\underline{y}|_W;$
- (c)  $|F(\underline{x})|_W \leq |\underline{x}|_W^p;$
- (d)  $|V(\underline{x})|_W = |\underline{x}|_W^{1/p}.$

*Proof.* These trivially follow from Proposition 5.4 by using Remark 5.3. For example, to prove (b), let  $\underline{x}', \underline{y}'$  denote lifts to infinite length Witt vectors having the same norms as  $\underline{x}, \underline{y}$ . Then we have

$$|\underline{xy}|_W \leq |\underline{x}'\underline{y}'|_W \leq |\underline{x}'|_W |\underline{y}'|_W = |\underline{x}|_W |\underline{y}|_W.$$

□

**Proposition 5.6.** *Assume the nonarchimedean submultiplicative seminorm on  $R$  is a power-multiplicative norm. Then the submultiplicative seminorm  $|\bullet|_W$  on  $W(R)$  is power-multiplicative.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.5, there exists an isometric embedding of  $R$  into a product of fields equipped with *multiplicative* norms, and such that the norm on the product is the supremum of the norms on the factors. It is clear that evaluating the Witt vector functor on a (possibly infinite) product of rings gives the product of the rings of Witt vectors on the individual rings in the product, so it suffices to prove the result in the case  $R = K$ , a nonarchimedean analytic field.

Let  $\underline{x} \in W(K)$ ; we want to show that  $|\underline{x}|_W^2 \leq |\underline{x}^2|_W$ . We may reduce to the case where  $\underline{x}$  has only finitely many nonzero components (by successive approximations). From the formula

$$[r] \cdot (x_1, x_p, \dots) = (rx_1, r^p x_p, \dots),$$

and from the fact that the norm on  $K$  is multiplicative, we see that

$$|[r] \cdot \underline{x}|_W = |r| |\underline{x}|_W.$$

In this way (using that  $\underline{x}$  has only finitely many nonzero components), we can rescale to assume that  $|\underline{x}|_W = 1$ . Thus we are reduced to proving that if  $\underline{x} \in W(K)$  has  $|\underline{x}|_W = 1$ , then  $|\underline{x}^2|_W = 1$ . We know  $|\underline{x}^2|_W \leq 1$  by submultiplicativity. We may further assume that  $K$  is algebraically closed, and hence that its residue field  $k$  is algebraically closed. We can project  $\underline{x}$  to  $W(k)$ , and the result is nonzero. If  $k$  has characteristic different from  $p$ , then the ring  $W(k)$  is isomorphic to a product of copies of  $k$ , and in particular the projection of  $\underline{x}^2$  is nonzero. If  $k$  has characteristic  $p$ , then from the classical theory of Witt vectors over perfect characteristic  $p$  fields, we know  $W(k)$  is reduced, and hence the projection of  $\underline{x}^2$  is again nonzero. This implies  $|\underline{x}^2|_W = 1$ , as required. □

The Witt-perfect condition translates into a condition on norms of Witt vectors as follows. Note that this result concerns  $p$ -typical Witt vectors evaluated on rings in which  $p$  is a unit. Typically such Witt vectors are not useful, but we are able to make meaningful statements by placing restrictions on their norms.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $A$  be a ring which is  $p$ -normal and Witt-perfect at  $p$ . Equip  $R := A_p$  with the  $p$ -adic seminorm. Then for every  $\underline{x} \in W_{p^n}(R)$ , there exists  $\underline{y} \in W_{p^{n+1}}(R)$  with  $F(\underline{y}) = \underline{x}$  and  $|\underline{y}|_W^p \leq |\underline{x}|_W$ .*

*Proof.* For notational convenience, we will replace  $n$  by  $s$  in the proof. So let us choose an  $s \geq 0$ , an element  $\underline{x} \in W_{p^s}(R)$ , and put  $c := |\underline{x}|_W$ . We wish to exhibit  $\underline{y} \in W_{p^{s+1}}(R)$  with  $F(\underline{y}) = \underline{x}$  and  $|\underline{y}|_W^p \leq c$ . Note that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $F([p^n]\underline{y}) = [p^n]\underline{x}$ ; consequently, there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $c > 1$ .

Choose  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in A$  as in Lemma 1.9. Then for any  $n \geq 1$  and any  $y \in R$ ,  $|x_n y| = p^{-1/p^n} |y|$ . Choose integers  $m, n \geq 0$  such that  $p^{-1/p^{s+1}} < p^{-m/p^{n-1}} c < 1$ , and put  $\underline{x}' := [x_n^m]\underline{x}$ . Then  $|\underline{x}'|_W = p^{-m/p^{n-1}} c < 1$ , so because  $A$  is Witt-perfect, we may lift  $\underline{x}'$  along  $F$  to some  $\underline{y}' \in W_{p^{s+1}}(A)$ . By Lemma 1.7, for all  $0 \leq j \leq s$ , we have  $|x'_{p^j} - (y'_{p^j})^p| \leq p^{-1}$ , and so  $|\underline{y}'|_W^p \leq p^{-m/p^{n-1}} c$ .

Because  $x_n$  is a unit in  $A_p$  whenever  $A$  is  $p$ -adically complete, we can choose  $\underline{y}_0 \in W_{p^{s+1}}(R)$  so that  $|\underline{y}' - [x_n^m]\underline{y}_0|_W < p^{-m/p^n}$ , so that in particular

$$\begin{aligned} |[x_n^m]\underline{y}_0|_W &\leq |\underline{y}'|_W \\ &\leq p^{-m/p^n} c^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$|\underline{y}_0|_W^p \leq c.$$

Applying  $F$  to  $[x_n^m]\underline{y}_0 - \underline{y}'$ , we have by Corollary 5.5 that

$$|[x_n^m](F(\underline{y}_0) - \underline{x})|_W < p^{-m/p^{n-1}},$$

and so  $|F(\underline{y}_0) - \underline{x}|_W < 1$ . By applying the fact that  $A$  is Witt-perfect again, we can find  $\underline{y}_1 \in W_{p^{s+1}}(R)$  with  $F(\underline{y}_1) = F(\underline{y}_0) - \underline{x}$  and  $|\underline{y}_1|_W^p \leq 1$ . We may then take  $\underline{y} := \underline{y}_0 - \underline{y}_1$  to complete the proof.  $\square$

## 6. OVERCONVERGENT WITT VECTORS

For rings of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors over rings of characteristic  $p$ , the importance of subrings defined by growth conditions (often called *overconvergent subrings*) has already been observed in  $p$ -adic cohomology (e.g., see [5, 4]) and in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory (e.g., see [10]). One can introduce similar rings more generally, but the most natural context for this is the inverse limit construction we introduced earlier.

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring equipped with a submultiplicative nonarchimedean seminorm  $|\bullet|$ . For  $b > 0$ , let  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$  be the set of elements  $(\underline{x}_{p^{-n}}) \in \varprojlim W(R)$  for which  $p^{-bn} |\underline{x}_{p^{-n}}|_W^{p^n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This forms an abelian group on which the function  $|\bullet|_{W,b}$  defined by the formula

$$(6.1.1) \quad |\underline{x}|_{W,b} = \sup_n \left\{ p^{-bn} |\underline{x}_{p^{-n}}|_W^{p^n} \right\}$$

is a seminorm thanks to Corollary 5.5. Let  $\varprojlim^\dagger W(R)$  denote the union of the  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$  over all  $b > 0$ ; this forms a subring of  $\varprojlim W(R)$  again by Corollary 5.5.

Our first result shows that our definition is a generalization of the overconvergence condition studied in [5, 4].

**Theorem 6.2.** *Keep notation as in Definition 6.1, and suppose further that  $R$  is perfect of characteristic  $p$  and that the seminorm on  $R$  is power-multiplicative. In this case, the seminorm  $|\bullet|_{W,b}$  is power-multiplicative, and via the natural isomorphism  $W(R) \cong \varprojlim W(R)$  of Lemma 3.5(b),  $\varprojlim^b W(R)$  corresponds to the subring of  $W(R)$  consisting of those  $\underline{x}$  for which  $p^{-bn}|x_{p^n}|^{p^{-n}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We thus recover the usual overconvergent Witt ring in characteristic  $p$ , as used for instance in [5, 4].*

*Proof.* Let  $\underline{y} \in \varprojlim W(R)$  denote the image of some element  $\underline{x} \in W(R)$  under the isomorphism  $W(R) \cong \varprojlim W(R)$ . Then

$$\underline{y}_{p^{-n}} = (x_1^{p^{-n}}, x_p^{p^{-n}}, \dots, x_{p^n}^{p^{-n}}).$$

Then using the definitions of the various seminorms, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{y}|_{W,b} &= \sup_n \left\{ p^{-bn} \left| \underline{y}_{p^{-n}} \right|_W^{p^n} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{j \leq n} \left\{ p^{-bn} \left| x_{p^j}^{p^{-n}} \right|^{p^{n-j}} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{j \leq n} \left\{ p^{-bn} |x_{p^j}|^{p^{-j}} \right\} \\ &= \sup_j \left\{ p^{-bj} |x_{p^j}|^{p^{-j}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the stated description of  $\varprojlim^b W(R)$ . We deduce that  $|\bullet|_{W,b}$  is power-multiplicative as in the proof of [8, Lemma 4.1].

We now prove that this notion of overconvergence agrees with the notion used in [5, 4]. Let  $R = k \left[ x_1^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, x_r^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \right]$  denote the perfection of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , where  $k$  is some perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . A Witt vector  $(f_1, f_p, f_{p^2}, \dots) \in W(R)$  is considered overconvergent if there exist positive constants  $C, D$  such that

$$\deg f_{p^j} \leq Cjp^j + Dp^j$$

for all  $j \geq 0$ . If we define a norm on  $R$  by setting

$$|f| := p^{\deg f},$$

then it is clear that the two notions of overconvergence do in fact coincide.  $\square$

In the remainder of this section, we use the characteristic  $p$  case to derive analogous properties in case  $R$  carries a  $p$ -adic seminorm.

**Hypothesis 6.3.** For the remainder of §6, take  $R$  to be  $A_p$  equipped with the  $p$ -adic seminorm for some  $p$ -normal ring  $A$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\underline{x} = (x_{p^{-n}}) \in \varprojlim W(R)$  be an element such that  $\underline{x}_{p^{-n}} = [y_{p^{-n}}]$  for some  $y_{p^{-n}} \in R$ . Then for each nonnegative integer  $m$ ,*

$$(6.4.1) \quad |p^m \underline{x}|_{W,b} = p^{-\min\{b,1\}m} |\underline{x}_1|_W.$$

*Proof.* We may use homogeneity (Lemma 1.5) to reduce to the case  $\underline{x} = 1$ . In  $W(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$p^m = (z_0, z_1, \dots)$$

for some  $z_i$  with  $z_0 = p^m$ ,  $z_i \in p^{m-i}\mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , and  $z_m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Write

$$|p^m|_{W,b} = \sup_n \{p^{-bn} |p^m|_W^n\} = \sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} \{p^{-bn} |z_i|^{p^{n-i}}\}.$$

In the final supremum, for  $b \geq 1$ , the term for a given  $i$  and  $n$  is at most

$$p^{-bn-(m-i)p^{n-i}} \leq p^{-n-(m-i)p^{n-i}} \leq p^{-i-(m-i)} = p^{-m}$$

with equality for  $i = n = 0$ ; for  $b < 1$ , the term is at most

$$p^{-bn-(m-i)p^{n-i}} \leq p^{-bn-b(m-i)p^{n-i}} \leq p^{-bi-b(m-i)} = p^{-bm}$$

with equality for  $i = m = n$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5.** *If  $A$  is complete with respect to the seminorm  $|\bullet|$ , then  $W_{p^n}(A)$  is complete with respect to the seminorm  $|\bullet|_W$ . Similarly,  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$  is complete with respect to the seminorm  $|\bullet|_{W,b}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)}, \dots$  denote a Cauchy sequence of Witt vectors. Using induction on  $j$ , one can check that the sequence of Witt vector components  $x_{p^j}^{(1)}, x_{p^j}^{(2)}, \dots$  is also a Cauchy sequence, hence it has a limit in  $A$ , and this is the limit of our original sequence of Witt vectors. The proof for  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$  is similar; the only additional detail to check is that the limits remain compatible under Frobenius; this follows from the fact that the Frobenius map can be defined in terms of polynomials of the coefficients, and hence  $F : W_{p^{n+1}}(R) \rightarrow W_{p^n}(R)$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Definition 6.6.** Let  $R'$  be the inverse limit of  $R$  under the  $p$ -power map. This set admits a natural ring structure as follows. For  $\underline{x} = (x_{p^{-m}})_{m=0}^\infty$ ,  $\underline{y} = (y_{p^{-m}})_{m=0}^\infty$  in  $R'$ , the sum  $\underline{x} + \underline{y}$  is defined as the coherent sequence  $(z_{p^{-m}})_{m=0}^\infty$  with

$$z_{p^{-m}} = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (x_{p^{-m-l}} + y_{p^{-m-l}})^{p^l};$$

the limit exists because if  $|x_1|, |y_1| \leq c$ , then

$$\left| (x_{p^{-m-l-1}} + y_{p^{-m-l-1}})^{p^{l+1}} - (x_{p^{-m-l}} + y_{p^{-m-l}})^{p^l} \right| \leq p^{-l}c.$$

For this addition structure, the ring  $R'$  has characteristic  $p$ . By a similar calculation, we have  $z_{p^{-m-1}}^p = z_{p^{-m}}$ , so we get a well-defined element of  $R'$ . We thus obtain the ring structure on  $R'$ ; by similar calculations, the formula

$$|\underline{x}| := |x_1|$$

defines a power-multiplicative seminorm on  $R'$ .

**Theorem 6.7.** *Suppose that  $A$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$  and  $p$ -adically complete. Let  $A'$  be the inverse limit of  $A/(p)$  under the  $p$ -power Frobenius endomorphism. For any  $b$ , there is a natural way to embed  $\varprojlim W(A')$  into  $\varprojlim W^b(R')$ , and for  $0 < b \leq 1$ , the isomorphism  $\varprojlim W(A') \cong \varprojlim W(A)$  from Theorem 3.6 extends to an isometric isomorphism  $\varprojlim W^b(R') \cong \varprojlim W^b(R)$ .*

*Proof.* There is an obvious map to  $A'$  from the subring of  $\underline{x} \in R'$  with  $x_1 \in A$ ; one checks that this map is an isomorphism by following the proof of Lemma 3.3.

We next construct the map  $\varprojlim W^b(R') \rightarrow \varprojlim W^b(R)$ . By Theorem 6.2, we may identify  $\varprojlim W^b(R')$  with the ring of  $\underline{x} \in W(R')$  for which  $p^{-bn} |x_{p^n}|^{p^{-n}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Each  $x_{p^n}$  corresponds to a coherent sequence  $(x_{p^n, p^{-m}})_{m=0}^\infty$  of elements of  $R$  in which



$x_{p^n, p^{-m-1}}^p = x_{p^n, p^{-m}}$  for all  $m$ . We may then define  $\underline{y}_{p^n} \in \underline{W}(R)$  as the coherent sequence  $([x_{p^n, p^{-m-n}}])_{m=0}^\infty$  and then define the map in question so as to send  $\underline{x}$  to  $\underline{y} := \sum_{n=0}^\infty p^n \underline{y}_{p^n}$ . Note that (6.4.1) and Lemma 6.5 together guarantee both that the sum converges and that the resulting map is submetric. To check that the map is isometric, pick the smallest index  $h \geq 0$  which maximizes  $p^{-bh} |x_{p^h}|^{p^{-h}}$  (assuming that  $\underline{x}$  is nonzero, as otherwise there is nothing to check), and put  $\underline{z} := \sum_{n=h}^\infty p^n \underline{y}_{p^n}$ . Then on one hand, by the previous discussion we have  $|\underline{y} - \underline{z}|_{W,b} < |\underline{x}|_{W,b}$ . On the other hand, for any  $m \geq 0$  we have  $z_{p^{-m}, 1} = \sum_{n=h}^\infty p^n x_{p^n, p^{-m-n}}$ . By our choice of  $h$ , for all  $n > h$  and  $m > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-bh} |x_{p^h, p^{-m-h}}| &= p^{bh(p^{-m}-1)} \left( p^{-bh} |x_{p^h}|^{p^{-h}} \right)^{p^{-m}} \\ &\geq p^{bh(p^{-m}-1)} \left( p^{-bn} |x_{p^n}|^{p^{-n}} \right)^{p^{-m}} \\ &\geq p^{b(1-p^{-m})} p^{bn(p^{-m}-1)} \left( p^{-bn} |x_{p^n}|^{p^{-n}} \right)^{p^{-m}} \\ &= p^{b(1-p^{-m})} p^{-bn} |x_{p^n, p^{-m-n}}|, \end{aligned}$$

so  $z_{p^{-m}, 1}$  is dominated by the summand with  $n = h$ . Hence  $|\underline{z}|_{W,b} \geq |\underline{x}|_{W,b}$ , so  $|\underline{y}|_{W,b} \geq |\underline{x}|_{W,b}$  as well. Consequently, the defined map is an isometry, and in particular is injective.

It is straightforward to check that this map extends the isomorphism  $\underline{W}(A') \cong \underline{W}(A)$  by tracing through the construction of Theorem 3.6. In particular, if we choose a sequence  $x_1, \dots \in A$  according to Lemma 1.9, then these define an element of  $A'$ . Let  $\underline{y} \in \underline{W}(A') \cong \underline{W}(A')$  be the Teichmüller lift of this element; it is a unit in  $\underline{W}^b(R')$ . The image of the subring  $\underline{W}(A')[\underline{y}^{-1}]$  of  $\underline{W}^b(R')$  equals the subring of  $\underline{x} \in \underline{W}^b(R)$  for which  $\sup_n \{|x_{p^{-n}}|^{p^n}\} < +\infty$ . By Lemma 5.7, this subring is dense in  $\underline{W}^b(R)$ : given  $\underline{x} \in \underline{W}^b(R)$ , we may use the lemma to lift  $\underline{x}_{p^{-n}}$  to the subring, and these lifts converge to  $\underline{x}$ . It follows that the map  $\underline{W}^b(R') \rightarrow \underline{W}^b(R)$  is surjective.  $\square$

To study  $\underline{W}^b(R)$  for  $b > 1$ , we use the inverse Frobenius map described in Remark 3.7.

**Lemma 6.8.** *For  $b \geq 1$  and  $\underline{x} \in \underline{W}^b(R)$ , we have  $F^{-1}(\underline{x}) \in \underline{W}^{b/p}(R)$  and*

$$(6.8.1) \quad \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W, p^{-b} |F^{-1}(\underline{x})|_{W, b/p}^p\} \leq |\underline{x}|_{W,b} \leq \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W, |F^{-1}(\underline{x})|_{W, b/p}^p\}.$$

*Proof.* Put  $\underline{y} = F^{-1}(\underline{x})$ ; then for  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\underline{y}_{p^{-n}}$  is the restriction of  $\underline{x}_{p^{-n-1}}$  from  $W_{p^{n+1}}(R)$  to  $W_{p^n}(R)$ . From this, it is clear that

$$|\underline{x}|_{W,b} = \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W, p^{-b} |\underline{y}|_{W, b/p}^p, \sup_{n \geq 0} \{p^{-bn} |x_{p^{-n}, p^n}|\}\}.$$

It thus remains to prove that for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$p^{-bn} |x_{p^{-n}, p^n}| \leq \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W, |\underline{y}|_{W, b/p}^p\}.$$

We check this by induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 0$  being obvious. Given the claim for some  $n$ , let  $\underline{z}_{p^{-n}} \in W_{p^{n+1}}(R)$  be the extension of  $\underline{y}_{p^{-n}}$  by zero; by Lemma 1.7,

$$x_{p^{-n-1}, p^{n+1}} = p^{-1}(x_{p^{-n}, p^n} - F(\underline{z}_{p^{-n}})_{p^n}).$$

By the induction hypothesis, Proposition 5.4(c), and the condition  $b \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-b(n+1)} |x_{p^{-n-1}, p^{n+1}}| &\leq p^{1-b(n+1)} |x_{p^{-n}, p^n} - F(\underline{z}_{p^{-n}})_{p^n}| \\ &\leq p^{-bn} \max\{|x_{p^{-n}, p^n}|, |F(\underline{z}_{p^{-n}})_{p^n}|\} \\ &\leq \max\{p^{-bn} |x_{p^{-n}, p^n}|, p^{-bn} |\underline{z}_{p^{-n}}|_W^p\} \\ &\leq \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W, |\underline{y}|_{W, b/p}^p\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction and thus the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.9.** *For  $0 < b \leq 1$ , the seminorm  $|\bullet|_{W, b}$  is submultiplicative and power-multiplicative; for  $b > 1$ , it is equivalent to a submultiplicative and power-multiplicative norm. Consequently, for all  $b > 0$ , the subset  $\underline{W}^b(R)$  of  $\underline{W}(R)$  is in fact a subring.*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the smallest nonnegative integer  $h$  for which  $p^h \geq b$ . In the base case  $h = 0$ , we have  $b \leq 1$ ; in this case, we may deduce the claim from Theorem 6.7 by embedding  $A$  into a Witt-perfect ring (e.g., by repeatedly adjoining  $p$ -th roots of elements) and then passing to the  $p$ -adic completion.

To establish the induction hypothesis, it suffices to deduce the claim for  $bp$  from the claim for  $b$  whenever  $b \geq 1$ . The claim for  $b$  is equivalent to the existence of  $c_1, c_2 \geq 1$  such that for all  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \underline{W}^b(R)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{x}\underline{y}|_{W, b} &\leq c_1 |\underline{x}|_{W, b} |\underline{y}|_{W, b} \\ |\underline{x}^2|_{W, b} &\geq c_2 |\underline{x}|_{W, b}^2, \end{aligned}$$

because then  $|\bullet|_{W, b}$  is equivalent to the power-multiplicative norm defined by  $\lim |\underline{x}^n|_{W, b}^{1/n}$ . For  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \underline{W}^{pb}(R)$ , by (6.8.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{x}\underline{y}|_{W, pb} &\leq \max\{|\underline{x}_1 \underline{y}_1|_W, |F^{-1}(\underline{x}\underline{y})|_{W, b}^p\} \\ &\leq \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W |\underline{y}_1|_W, c_1^p |F^{-1}(\underline{x})|_{W, b}^p |F^{-1}(\underline{y})|_{W, b}^p\} \\ &\leq \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W, c_1^p |F^{-1}(\underline{x})|_{W, b}^p\} \max\{|\underline{y}_1|_W, c_1^p |F^{-1}(\underline{y})|_{W, b}^p\} \\ &\leq c_1^{2p} p^{2pb} \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W, p^{-pb} |F^{-1}(\underline{x})|_{W, b}^p\} \max\{|\underline{y}_1|_W, p^{-pb} |F^{-1}(\underline{y})|_{W, b}^p\} \\ &\leq c_1^{2p} p^{2pb} |\underline{x}|_{W, pb} |\underline{y}|_{W, pb} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{x}^2|_{W, pb} &\geq \max\{|\underline{x}_1^2|_W, |F^{-1}(\underline{x}^2)|_{W, b}^p\} \\ &\geq \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W^2, c_2^p |F^{-1}(\underline{x})|_{W, b}^{2p}\} \\ &\geq c_2^p p^{2pb} \max\{|\underline{x}_1|_W^2, p^{-2pb} |F^{-1}(\underline{x})|_{W, b}^{2p}\} \\ &\geq c_2^p p^{2pb} |\underline{x}|_{W, pb}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction and hence the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 6.10.** For  $b > 1$ , although  $\varprojlim W^b(R')$  has been studied previously, the ring  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$  does not seem to have been considered previously even when  $A$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$  and  $p$ -adically complete. We cannot have an isometric isomorphism  $\varprojlim W^b(R') \cong \varprojlim W^b(R)$  for  $b > 1$  because the theta map  $\varprojlim W^1(R') \rightarrow R$  does not extend to  $\varprojlim W^b(R')$ .

## 7. ALMOST PURITY FOR OVERCONVERGENT WITT VECTORS

We now arrive at the main theorem of the paper, whose proof will occupy the entirety of this section.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $A$  be a ring which is  $p$ -normal and Witt-perfect at  $p$ , and put  $R := A_p$ . Let  $S$  be a finite étale  $R$ -algebra and let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $S$ . Equip  $R$  and  $S$  with the  $p$ -adic seminorms for the subrings  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Then for  $b > 0$ ,  $\varprojlim W^b(S)$  is finite étale over  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$ . In particular,  $\varprojlim W^\dagger(S)$  is finite étale over  $\varprojlim W^\dagger(R)$ .*

We proceed using a sequence of lemmas.

**Hypothesis 7.2.** For the remainder of §7, assume hypotheses as in Theorem 7.1. Also fix a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in A$  as in Lemma 1.9.

**Lemma 7.3.** *For  $b' > b > 0$ ,  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$  is dense in  $\varprojlim W^{b'}(R)$  with respect to  $|\bullet|_{W,b'}$ .*

*Proof.* We must check that for any  $\underline{x} \in \varprojlim W^{b'}(R)$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\underline{y} \in \varprojlim W^b(R)$  with  $|\underline{x} - \underline{y}|_{W,b'} < \epsilon$ . To find  $\underline{y}$ , choose a positive integer  $h$  for which  $p^{-b'n} |\underline{x}_{p^{-n}}|_W^{p^n} < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq h$ . Then apply Lemma 5.7 to lift  $\underline{x}_{p^{-h}} \in W_{p^h}(R)$  to an element  $\underline{y} \in \varprojlim W^b(R)$  with  $|\underline{y}_{p^n}|_W^{p^n} = |\underline{x}_{p^{-h}}|_W^{p^h}$  for all  $n \geq h$ . This has the desired effect: for  $n \leq h$  we have  $(\underline{x} - \underline{y})_{p^{-n}} = 0$ , while for  $n > h$  we have

$$p^{-b'n} |(\underline{x} - \underline{y})_{p^{-n}}|_W^{p^n} \leq \max\{p^{-b'n} |\underline{x}_{p^{-n}}|_W^{p^n}, p^{-b'n} |\underline{y}_{p^{-n}}|_W^{p^n}\} < \epsilon.$$

This proves the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.4.** *For  $j$  a positive integer, for  $\underline{x}$  in the kernel of  $F : W_{p^j}(S) \rightarrow W_{p^{j-1}}(S)$ , we have*

$$|w_1(\underline{x})| = p^{-1/p - \dots - 1/p^j} |\underline{x}|_W.$$

*Proof.* Let  $c = p^{-1/p - \dots - 1/p^j}$ . By [3, Corollary 2.6], if  $|\underline{x}|_W < 1$ , then  $|w_1(\underline{x})| \leq c$ . By multiplying by  $[x_n^a p^b]$  for suitable  $a, b, n$ , we deduce that for any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}]$ , if  $|\underline{x}|_W < p^r$  then  $|w_1(\underline{x})| \leq cp^r$ .

Now assume  $|w_1(\underline{x})| < c$ . Note that because  $S$  is  $p$ -torsion free, the element  $\underline{x}$  is uniquely determined by  $w_1(\underline{x})$ . By [3, Corollary 2.6] again, we deduce  $|\underline{x}|_W \leq 1$ . Multiplying by Teichmüller elements as above, we deduce that if  $|w_1(\underline{x})| < cp^r$  then  $|\underline{x}|_W \leq p^r$ . Combining our two results, we deduce  $|w_1(\underline{x})| = c |\underline{x}|_W$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.5.** *Fix positive integers  $h, m$ . Choose  $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_n \in \varprojlim W(B)$  for which*

$$(x_h, p)B \subseteq p^m B + w_1(\underline{b}_1)A + \dots + w_1(\underline{b}_n)A.$$

Then for any  $j \geq 0$ , we have

$$(x_{j+h}, p)B \subseteq p^m B + w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_n)A.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $j$ , the base case  $j = 0$  being given. Given the claim for some  $j$ , for any  $b \in B$  we have

$$x_{j+h}b^p = p^m b_0 + a_1 w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_1) + \cdots + a_n w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_n)$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $b_0 \in B$ . Since  $A$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ , we can find  $a'_i \in A$  with  $(a'_i)^p \equiv a_i \pmod{p}$ ; we then have

$$x_{j+h+1}^p b^p \equiv (a'_1)^p (w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{b}_1))^p + \cdots + (a'_n)^p (w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{b}_n))^p \pmod{pB}$$

and so if we write

$$x_{j+h+1}b = a'_1 w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{b}_1) + \cdots + a'_n w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{b}_n) + z,$$

then by Lemma 2.3 we have that  $z \in (x_1, p)B$ . In  $B/p^m B$ , both  $x_1$  and  $p$  are multiples of  $x_{j+h+1}^p$ ; thus we can write

$$z \equiv x_{j+h+1}^{p-1} (x_{j+h+1} z_0) \pmod{p^m B}.$$

We may then run the argument again with  $x_{j+h+1} z_0$  in place of  $x_{j+h+1}b$ . After suitably many repetitions, we find that

$$x_{j+h+1}b \equiv a''_1 w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{b}_1) + \cdots + a''_n w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{b}_n) \pmod{p^m B}.$$

Since  $p$  is a multiple of  $x_{j+h+1}$  in  $B/p^m B$ , this completes the induction.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.6.** *For any  $h \geq 1$ , there exist elements  $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_n \in \underline{W}(B)$  for which*

$$(x_{j+h}, p)B \subseteq w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_n)A \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots)$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 2.9 to construct elements  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in B$  such that

$$(x_h, p)B \subseteq Ay_1 + \cdots + Ay_n.$$

By Theorem 2.9 again,  $B$  is Witt-perfect at  $p$ , so we may lift  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  to elements  $\underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_n \in \underline{W}(B)$ . These elements suffice to prove the  $j = 0$  case of our desired result. We will construct additional elements  $\underline{z}_1, \dots, \underline{z}_n \in \underline{W}(B)$  (for the same choice of  $n$ ) so as to ensure that for all  $j$ ,

$$(7.6.1) \quad (x_{j+h}, p)B \subseteq w_{1/p^j}(\underline{y}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^j}(\underline{y}_n)A + w_{1/p^j}(\underline{z}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^j}(\underline{z}_n)A.$$

To describe the elements  $\underline{z}_i$ , it is sufficient to specify the projection  $\underline{z}_{ip-j}$  of  $\underline{z}_i$  to  $W_{p^j}(B)$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . We will describe these projections recursively with respect to  $j$ . For the case  $j = 0$ , we saw above that we may take  $\underline{z}_{i1} = 0$  for all  $i$ .

Assume now that for some fixed  $j$ , we have  $\underline{z}_{ip-j} \in W_{p^j}(B)$  satisfying (7.6.1). Let  $z_i$  be the first component of some lift of  $\underline{z}_{ip-j}$  to  $W_{p^{j+1}}(B)$  under Frobenius. Since  $z_i^{p^{j+1}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , by Lemma 2.3 we have  $z_i \in (x_{j+1}, p)B \subseteq (x_{j+h+1}, p)B$ . Returning momentarily to our elements  $\underline{y}_i$  chosen earlier, by Lemma 7.5 we can find  $v_i \in B$  so that

$$z_i + p^2 v_i \in w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{y}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{y}_n)A.$$

By [3, Corollary 2.6], any element of  $B$  congruent to  $z_i$  modulo  $p^2$  is the first Witt component of a lift of  $\underline{z}_{ip^{-j}}$ ; we may thus choose  $\underline{z}_{ip^{-(j+1)}}$  so that

$$w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{z}_i) = z_i + p^2 v_i + p^2 y_i$$

and thus

$$p^2 y_i \in w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{z}_i)A + w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{y}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{y}_n)A.$$

We know from Lemma 7.5 that

$$(x_{j+h+1}, p)B \subseteq p^3 B + w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{y}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{y}_n)A.$$

To confirm (7.6.1), it thus suffices to show that

$$p^3 B \subseteq w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{y}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{y}_n)A + w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{z}_1)A + \cdots + w_{1/p^{j+1}}(\underline{z}_n)A.$$

We have already seen that the right side contains  $p^2 y_i$  for every  $i$ . Hence it suffices to show that

$$p^3 B \subseteq p^2 y_1 A + \cdots + p^2 y_n A.$$

On the other hand, the elements  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  were originally chosen so that

$$pB \subseteq y_1 A + \cdots + y_n A.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.7.** *With notation as in Lemma 7.6, we have the following.*

- (a) *Any  $s \in S$  can be expressed as  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_i)$  for some  $r_i \in R$  with  $|r_i| \leq p^{1/p^{h+j}} |s|$ .*
- (b) *Let  $\pi : F \rightarrow S$  be the  $R$ -linear homomorphism with  $F$  finite free with basis elements mapping to  $w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_1), \dots, w_{1/p^j}(\underline{b}_n)$ . There exists a splitting of  $\pi$ , denoted  $\iota : S \rightarrow F$ , depending on  $j$  and  $h$ , such that  $\iota$  has operator norm at most  $p^{1/p^{h+j}}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove only (b), as this immediately implies (a). By Lemma 7.6, the cokernel of  $\pi$  is annihilated by  $(x_{j+h}, p)$ . Then by Theorem 2.9(c), there exists an  $R$ -module homomorphism

$$\iota_{x_{j+h}} : S \rightarrow F$$

such that the composition  $\iota_{x_{j+h}} \circ \pi$  is multiplication by  $x_{j+h}$ . By the same theorem, there exists

$$\iota_p : S \rightarrow F$$

such that  $\iota_p \circ \pi$  is multiplication by  $p$ . Note that the two homomorphisms  $\iota_{x_{j+h}}$  and  $\iota_p$  both have operator norm at most 1, since they can be defined over  $A$ .

By the definition of the element  $x_{j+h}$ , there exists  $v \in A$  such that

$$p = x_{j+h}^{p^{j+h}} + p^2 v.$$

Now define

$$\iota := \left( \iota_{x_{j+h}} x_{j+h}^{p^{j+h}-1} + \iota_p p v \right) p^{-1}.$$

Then because  $\iota_{x_{j+h}} x_{j+h}^{p^{j+h}-1}$  has operator norm at most  $p^{-1+1/p^{h+j}}$  and  $\iota_p p v$  has operator norm at most  $p^{-1}$ , we deduce that  $\iota$  has operator norm at most  $p^{1/p^{j+h}}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.8.** *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 7.1, assume furthermore that  $b \leq 1$  and that  $A$  is  $p$ -adically complete. Then  $\varprojlim W^b(S)$  is a finite projective  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$ -module.*

*Proof.* Write  $R' := \varprojlim R$  and  $S' := \varprojlim S$  for the inverse systems in which the transition maps are the  $p$ -power map. By Theorem 6.7, we may identify  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$  and  $\varprojlim W^b(S)$  with  $\varprojlim W^b(R')$  and  $\varprojlim W^b(S')$ ; the latter coincide with the rings  $\mathcal{R}_{R'}^{\text{int}, \frac{1}{b}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{S'}^{\text{int}, \frac{1}{b}}$  defined in [10, Definition 5.1.1]. Hence we may finish by invoking [10, Proposition 5.5.4].  $\square$

**Remark 7.9.** Because of our goal to adapt these results to a global setting, it would be desirable to have a proof of the preceding result that does not pass to characteristic  $p$ .

We will use the above result concerning  $p$ -adically complete rings to deduce the following. It says that if we can prove that  $\varprojlim W^b(S)$  is a finite  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$ -module, then we get that  $\varprojlim W^b(S)$  is a finite projective  $\varprojlim W^b(S)$ -module for free.

**Theorem 7.10.** *Suppose that  $b \leq 1$ . Let  $G$  denote a finite free  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$ -module and assume there exists a surjective  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$ -module homomorphism  $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \varprojlim W^b(S)$ . Then there exists a  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$ -linear splitting  $\iota : \varprojlim W^b(S) \rightarrow G$  of  $\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\hat{R}$  for the  $p$ -adic completion of  $R$ , and similarly for other rings; for example,  $\hat{G}$  denotes a finite free  $\varprojlim W^b(\hat{R})$ -module. Base extension of  $\pi$  produces a surjection

$$\hat{\pi} : \hat{G} \twoheadrightarrow \varprojlim W^b(\hat{S}),$$

and by Proposition 7.8 there is a splitting  $\hat{\iota}$  of  $\hat{\pi}$ . Our strategy is to perturb  $\hat{\iota}$  slightly so that it is the base extension of our desired splitting  $\iota$ .

By base extension of  $\pi$ , we obtain surjections

$$\hat{G} \rightarrow \varprojlim W^b(\hat{S}), \quad G_n \rightarrow W_{p^n}(S), \quad \hat{G}_n \rightarrow W_{p^n}(\hat{S}).$$

Let  $\hat{K}, K_n, \hat{K}_n$  be the kernels of these maps. Let  $\hat{T}, T_n, \hat{T}_n$  be the spaces of splitting of these maps; these are torsors for  $\hat{H}, H_n, \hat{H}_n$ , where

$$\hat{H} := \text{Hom}_{\varprojlim W^b(\hat{R})}(\varprojlim W^b(\hat{S}), \hat{K})$$

and so on. Note that  $\hat{K}, K_n, \hat{K}_n$  and  $\hat{H}, H_n, \hat{H}_n$  are finite projective modules over their respective rings. (For  $\hat{K}$  and  $\hat{H}$ , we are using Proposition 7.8 in order to know that  $\varprojlim W^b(\hat{S})$  is a finite projective module over  $\varprojlim W^b(\hat{R})$ ; this is why we must assume that  $b \leq 1$ .)

For everything in sight, Frobenius gives us maps from  $*_{n+1}$  to  $*_n$ . More precisely, we have isomorphisms

$$*_{n+1} \otimes_{W_{p^{n+1}}, F} W_{p^n} \cong *_n.$$

This even makes sense for the  $T$ 's: if we take a splitting of  $T_{n+1}$ , use it to identify  $T_{n+1}$  with  $H_{n+1}$ , then map the same splitting to  $T_n$  and use it to identify  $T_n$  with  $H_n$ , we can transfer the identification  $H_{n+1} \otimes_{W_{p^{n+1}}(R), F} W_{p^n}(R) \cong H_n$  to get an identification of “ $T_{n+1} \otimes_{W_{p^{n+1}}(R), F} W_{p^n}(R)$ ” with  $T_n$ .

Fix a norm on  $\hat{H}$  by viewing  $\hat{H}$  as a finite projective module over  $\varprojlim W^b(\hat{R})$  and applying the norm on  $\varprojlim W^b(\hat{R})$ ; the equivalence class of this norm does not depend on any choices (see [10, Lemma 2.2.12]). We now define a sequence of splittings

$$\hat{t}^{(-1)}, \hat{t}^{(0)}, \dots \in \hat{T}$$

which will converge to an element  $\hat{t} \in \hat{T}$  which is the base extension of a splitting

$$\iota : \varprojlim W^b(S) \rightarrow G.$$

We define the splittings  $\hat{t}^{(i)}$  as follows. To begin with, take  $\hat{t}^{(-1)} = \hat{t} \in \hat{T}$ . Now suppose we have been given  $\hat{t}^{(n-1)} \in \hat{T}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . If  $n \geq 1$ , assume further that the image  $\hat{t}_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \in \hat{T}_{n-1}$  of  $\hat{t}^{(n-1)}$  is the base extension of some  $t_{n-1} \in T_{n-1}$ . We would like to choose  $\hat{t}^{(n)} \in \hat{T}$  so that:

- (a)  $\hat{t}^{(n)}$  and  $\hat{t}^{(n-1)}$  have the same image in  $\hat{T}_{n-1}$  (that is,  $\hat{t}^{(n)} - \hat{t}^{(n-1)}$  maps to zero in  $\hat{H}_{n-1}$ );
- (b) the norm of  $\hat{t}^{(n)} - \hat{t}^{(n-1)}$  in  $\hat{H}$  is at most  $p^{-n}$ ;
- (c) the image of  $\hat{t}^{(n)}$  in  $\hat{T}_n$  is the base extension of some  $t_n \in T_n$ .

We momentarily postpone verifying that we can produce such a  $\hat{t}^{(n)} \in \hat{T}$  and instead explain why this will complete the proof. If these conditions are all satisfied, then the elements  $t_n$  from condition (c) can be taken to be Frobenius compatible by condition (a), in which case they produce a splitting

$$\iota : \varprojlim W(S) \rightarrow \bigoplus \varprojlim W(R)$$

without any overconvergence condition. On the other hand, by (b) the  $\hat{t}_n$  converge in  $\hat{T}$  to a splitting  $\hat{t}$  of  $\hat{\pi}$ , so  $\iota$  maps  $\varprojlim W^b(S)$  into the intersection of  $\bigoplus \varprojlim W(R)$  and  $\hat{G}$  within  $\bigoplus \varprojlim W(\hat{R})$ . This intersection is precisely  $G$ , so we obtain a splitting of  $\pi$  as desired.

Thus it remains to show how to produce the desired element  $\hat{t}^{(n)} \in \hat{T}$  from the given elements  $\hat{t}^{(n-1)} \in \hat{T}$  and  $t_{n-1} \in T_{n-1}$ . Let  $U_n$  be the set of lifts of  $t_{n-1}$  to  $T_n$ . Fixing a norm on  $H_n$ , the induced norm on  $\hat{H}_n$  will be equivalent to the one induced on  $\hat{H}_n$  by  $\hat{H}$  (again see [10, Lemma 2.2.12]). In this sense,  $U_n$  is dense in the set of lifts of  $\hat{t}_{n-1}^{(n-1)}$  from  $\hat{T}_{n-1}$  to  $\hat{T}_n$ , so we can pick  $t_n \in T_n$  which maps to  $t_{n-1}$  and whose base extension to  $\hat{T}_n$  lifts to  $\hat{t}^{(n)} \in \hat{T}$  of the right form.  $\square$

The core part of the proof of Theorem 7.10 is the proof that  $\varprojlim W^b(S)$  is a finite  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$ -module; we address this point now.

**Lemma 7.11.** *Choose a positive integer  $h$  such that  $p^{-h} < b$ . Choose  $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_n \in \varprojlim W(B)$  as in Lemma 7.6. Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow \varprojlim W^b(S)$  be the  $\varprojlim W^b(R)$ -linear homomorphism with  $G$  finite free with basis elements mapping to  $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_n$ . Then the map  $\pi : G \rightarrow \varprojlim W^b(S)$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Choose  $\underline{x} \in \varprojlim W^b(S)$ , and assume  $D$  is such that  $p^{-bj} |\underline{x}_{p^{-j}}|_W^{p^j} \leq D$ . We wish to produce coefficients  $\underline{r}_1, \dots, \underline{r}_n \in \varprojlim W^b(R)$  such that

$$p^{-b(j+1)} |\underline{r}_{ip^{-j}}|_W^{p^j} \leq D \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\underline{x} = \underline{r}_1 \underline{b}_1 + \cdots + \underline{r}_n \underline{b}_n.$$

It will be convenient to note the following explicit bounds on the Witt vector norms:

$$|\underline{x}_{p^{-j}}|_W \leq D^{\frac{1}{p^j}} p^{\frac{bj}{p^j}}, \text{ and we want to prove } |\underline{r}_{ip^{-j}}|_W \leq D^{\frac{1}{p^j}} p^{\frac{b(j+1)}{p^j}}.$$

To completely specify an element  $\underline{r}_i \in \underline{W}^b(R)$ , it suffices to specify its projections  $\underline{r}_{ip^{-j}} \in W_{p^j}(R)$  for each  $j \geq 0$ .

We first use Lemma 7.7 to treat the base case  $j = 0$ . This lemma guarantees that we can find  $\underline{r}_{i1} \in W_1(R) \cong R$  such that

$$\underline{x}_1 = \underline{r}_{11} \underline{b}_{11} + \cdots + \underline{r}_{n1} \underline{b}_{n1}$$

and such that, furthermore,

$$|\underline{r}_{i1}|_W \leq p^{\frac{1}{p^h}} |\underline{x}_1|_W \leq p^b D \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

This completes the base case of the induction.

Now inductively assume we have found elements  $\underline{r}_{1p^{-j}}, \dots, \underline{r}_{np^{-j}} \in W_{p^j}(R)$  such that

$$\underline{x}_{p^{-j}} = \underline{r}_{1p^{-j}} \underline{b}_{1p^{-j}} + \cdots + \underline{r}_{np^{-j}} \underline{b}_{np^{-j}}$$

and such that, furthermore,

$$|\underline{r}_{ip^{-j}}|_W \leq D^{\frac{1}{p^j}} p^{\frac{b(j+1)}{p^j}}. \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

We will now construct elements  $\underline{r}_{1p^{-(j+1)}}, \dots, \underline{r}_{np^{-(j+1)}}$  satisfying the analogous conditions.

As preliminary values, apply Lemma 5.7 to find elements  $\underline{r}'_{1p^{-(j+1)}}, \dots, \underline{r}'_{np^{-(j+1)}}$  such that

$$F\left(\underline{r}'_{ip^{-(j+1)}}\right) = \underline{r}_{ip^{-j}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

and such that, furthermore

$$\left|\underline{r}'_{ip^{-(j+1)}}\right|_W^p \leq |\underline{r}_{ip^{-j}}|_W.$$

The difference

$$\underline{u} := \underline{x}_{p^{-(j+1)}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{r}'_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \underline{b}_{ip^{-(j+1)}},$$

is then an element of the kernel of  $F : W_{p^{j+1}}(S) \rightarrow W_{p^j}(S)$ . Let  $u$  be the first component of this element, and apply Lemma 7.7 to write

$$u = u_1 w_{1/p^{h+j+1}}(\underline{b}_1) + \cdots + u_n w_{1/p^{h+j+1}}(\underline{b}_n),$$

with

$$|u_i| \leq p^{\frac{1}{p^{h+j+1}}} |u| \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then extend each  $u_i$  into an element  $\underline{u}_i$  in the kernel of  $F : W_{p^{j+1}}(R) \rightarrow W_{p^j}(R)$ . For  $c := p^{-1/p - \cdots - 1/p^{j+1}}$ , by Lemma 7.4, we have

$$|u| = c |\underline{u}|_W \quad \text{and} \quad |u_i| = c |\underline{u}_i|_W \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Now set  $\underline{r}_{ip^{-(j+1)}} = \underline{r}'_{ip^{-(j+1)}} + \underline{u}_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then we have

$$\underline{x}_{p^{-(j+1)}} = \underline{r}_{1p^{-(j+1)}} \underline{b}_{1p^{-(j+1)}} + \cdots + \underline{r}_{np^{-(j+1)}} \underline{b}_{np^{-(j+1)}}$$



because both sides are elements in  $W_{p^{j+1}}(S)$  with the same first coordinate and with the same image under  $F$  in  $W_{p^j}(S)$ . It remains to consider the norms on these coefficients. To complete the induction, we wish to show that

$$\left| r_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \right|_W \leq D^{\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}} p^{\frac{b(j+2)}{p^{j+1}}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Because  $r_{ip^{-(j+1)}} = r'_{ip^{-(j+1)}} + \underline{u}_i$ , it suffices to treat these two terms separately.

By construction and our inductive hypothesis, we know

$$\left| r'_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \right|_W \leq \left| r_{ip^{-j}} \right|_W^{1/p} \leq \left| r_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \right|_W \leq D^{\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}} p^{\frac{b(j+1)}{p^{j+1}}}.$$

We now treat the element  $\underline{u}_i$  similarly. Recall that  $u_i$  and  $u$  denote the first Witt coordinates of  $\underline{u}_i$  and  $\underline{u}$ , respectively. We know

$$|\underline{u}_i|_W = c^{-1} |u_i| \leq c^{-1} p^{1/p^{h+j+1}} |u| = p^{1/p^{h+j+1}} |\underline{u}|_W.$$

Recalling the definition of  $\underline{u}$ , we reduce in this way to proving

$$\left| \underline{x}_{p^{-(j+1)}} - \sum_{i=1}^n r'_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \underline{b}_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \right|_W \leq p^{\frac{-1}{p^{h+j+1}}} D^{\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}} p^{\frac{b(j+2)}{p^{j+1}}}$$

With regards to the  $\underline{x}_{p^{-(j+1)}}$  term, this reduces to showing

$$(7.11.1) \quad D^{\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}} p^{\frac{b(j+1)}{p^{j+1}}} \leq p^{\frac{-1}{p^{h+j+1}}} D^{\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}} p^{\frac{b(j+2)}{p^{j+1}}},$$

which reduces to showing

$$p^{b(j+1)} \leq p^{\frac{-1}{p^h}} p^{b(j+2)},$$

which follows from our bound on  $h$ .

We now must show that for any  $i$ , we have

$$\left| r'_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \underline{b}_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \right|_W \leq p^{\frac{-1}{p^{h+j+1}}} D^{\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}} p^{\frac{b(j+2)}{p^{j+1}}}.$$

Because  $\underline{b}_i \in \underline{W}(B) \subseteq \underline{W}^b(S)$ , and hence its norm is at most 1, it suffices to treat the  $r'_i$  term. By construction and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\left| r'_{ip^{-(j+1)}} \right|_W \leq \left| r_{ip^{-j}} \right|_W^{1/p} \leq D^{\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}} p^{\frac{b(j+1)}{p^{j+1}}}.$$

We then are faced with the exact same reduction as in (7.11.1). This completes the induction, and hence the proof that  $\pi : G \rightarrow \underline{W}^b(S)$  is surjective.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.12.** *For all  $b$ ,  $\underline{W}^b(S)$  is a finite projective  $\underline{W}^b(R)$ -module.*

*Proof.* For  $b \leq 1$  this follows from Theorem 7.10 and Lemma 7.11. For  $b > 1$ , we reduce to the case  $b = 1$  as follows. Apply Lemma 7.11 with  $b = 1$  to produce a surjection  $\pi : G \rightarrow \underline{W}^1(S)$  and a splitting  $\iota : \underline{W}^1(S) \rightarrow G$  of  $\underline{W}^1(R)$ -modules. By Lemma 7.11 again, the induced map

$$G \otimes_{\underline{W}^1(R)} \underline{W}^b(R) \rightarrow \underline{W}^b(S)$$

is surjective. By Lemma 7.3,  $\underline{W}^1(S)$  is dense in  $\underline{W}^b(S)$ , so  $\iota$  extends continuously to a splitting  $\underline{W}^b(S) \rightarrow G \otimes_{\underline{W}^1(R)} \underline{W}^b(R)$ . This proves the claim in case  $b > 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.13.** *For  $S'$  another finite étale algebra, the natural map*

$$\underline{W}^b(S) \otimes_{\underline{W}^b(R)} \underline{W}^b(S') \rightarrow \underline{W}^b(S \otimes_R S')$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 7.11 to construct surjections  $\pi : G \rightarrow \underline{W}^b(S)$  and  $\pi' : G' \rightarrow \underline{W}^b(S')$ . By Lemma 7.11 again, the induced map

$$\pi \otimes \pi' : G \otimes_{\underline{W}^b(R)} G' \rightarrow \underline{W}^b(S \otimes_R S')$$

is also surjective. Consequently, the natural map

$$\underline{W}^b(S) \otimes_{\underline{W}^b(R)} \underline{W}^b(S') \rightarrow \underline{W}^b(S \otimes_R S')$$

is a surjective  $\underline{W}^b(R)$ -linear homomorphism between finite projective modules of everywhere equal rank. This map is thus forced to be an isomorphism by Nakayama's lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 7.1.* Since  $S$  is finite étale as an  $R$ -algebra, it is finite projective as a module over both  $R$  and  $S \otimes_R S$  (via the multiplication map  $S \otimes_R S \rightarrow S$ ). By Lemma 7.12,  $\underline{W}^b(S)$  is finite projective as a module over both  $\underline{W}^b(R)$  and  $\underline{W}^b(S \otimes_R S)$ . However, by Lemma 7.13 the latter ring is isomorphic to  $\underline{W}^b(S) \otimes_{\underline{W}^b(R)} \underline{W}^b(S)$ . Therefore,  $\underline{W}^b(S)$  is a finite étale  $\underline{W}^b(R)$ -algebra, as desired.  $\square$

## 8. ASSOCIATING $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -MODULES TO ARTIN MOTIVES

We conclude with a baby step in the direction of global  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules in order to illustrate the motivation for the preceding constructions.

Let  $L$  denote a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The comparison isomorphism in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory associates to each smooth and proper variety over  $L$  a certain algebraic object called a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module. Such an object is a module over a complicated base ring equipped with some additional structures. More precisely,  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules can be associated to arbitrary  $p$ -adic representations of the absolute Galois group  $G_L$ , and the one associated to an algebraic variety is obtained from the  $p$ -adic étale cohomology.

A hypothetical theory of *global*  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules would similarly associate an algebraic object to a smooth proper variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and would be related to étale cohomology as a representation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  rather than  $G_L$ . While we are quite far at present from any such theory, we can at least treat the case of zero-dimensional varieties, which correspond to discrete  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representations. This case is essentially a formal consequence of Theorem 7.1.

**Hypothesis 8.1.** Throughout the remainder of this paper, we consider  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$  equipped with the supremum norm taken over all places above  $p$ . The results here would hold similarly for  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$ , or for an intermediate field between  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$ , but we work with  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$  to emphasize our eventual goal of having a theory in which there is no distinguished prime  $p$ . In that theory, our supremum norm would be replaced by the supremum over all places (including perhaps the infinite places), and our  $p$ -typical Witt vectors would be replaced by big Witt vectors.

**Definition 8.2.** An *Artin motive* over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with coefficients in a field  $K$  is a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space equipped with a discrete action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

In our analogue of the theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, Artin motives over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with coefficients in  $K$  will play the role of the  $p$ -adic étale cohomology groups associated to varieties over a  $p$ -adic field. The role of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules will be played by the following.

**Definition 8.3.** A  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -module over the ring  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$  is a finite projective module over  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$  equipped with semilinear actions of the inverse Frobenius  $\varphi^{-1}$  and the group  $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ .

**Remark 8.4.** The actions of  $\varphi^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma$  on the base ring  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$  are induced by the actions on the left-hand factor. Note that we must use  $\varphi^{-1}$  instead of  $\varphi$  because there is no action of  $\varphi$  on  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$ .

We now describe how to associate a  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$  to an Artin motive. Let  $V$  denote an Artin motive over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with coefficients in  $K$ . Because the action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is by definition *discrete*, we can find a finite Galois extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  such that the Galois action on  $V$  factors through  $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ . To  $V$ , we then associate the  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ -module

$$\left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right)^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})}.$$

**Theorem 8.5.** *With notation as above,*

$$\left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right)^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})}$$

*is a finite projective  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ -module.*

*Proof.* We will describe how this follows from Theorem 7.1. We will use without further comment the compatibility of the functor  $F \mapsto \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  on finite étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras with the formation of tensor products; see Lemma 7.13.

We first observe that  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  is faithfully flat over  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$ . Then by faithfully flat descent [13, Tag 058S], to deduce the result of the lemma, it suffices to show that

$$(8.5.1) \quad \left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K \right) \otimes_{\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K} \left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right)^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})}$$

is finite projective over  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ . To prove this, we will show that the module in (8.5.1) is isomorphic to  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V$ . To prove they are isomorphic, consider the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K \right) \otimes_{\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K} \left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right)^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})} \\ & \cong \left[ \left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K \right) \otimes_{\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K} \left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right) \right]^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})} \end{aligned}$$

Here we declare that  $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$  acts trivially on the left factor. The isomorphism follows from projectivity of  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  over  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \cong \left[ \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})} \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right]^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})} \\ & \cong \left[ \varprojlim ((F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}} (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right]^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})} \end{aligned}$$

To see this last isomorphism, note that  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})} \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  and  $\varprojlim ((F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}} (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}))$  are both finite étale algebras over  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  lifting  $(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}} (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$ .

$$\cong \left[ \varprojlim ((F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right]^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})}$$

We will show that this last module is isomorphic to  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V$ , which will complete our proof. Recall that  $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$  acts only on the right-hand factor of  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ . In the direct sum decomposition  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F \cong \bigoplus F$ , we can realize the Galois action as a transitive permutation of the factors  $F$ . Pick one of the factors and call it  $F_e$ . Then the projection  $\bigoplus F \rightarrow F_e$  induces a homomorphism

$$\left[ \varprojlim ((F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right]^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow \varprojlim (F_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V.$$

Identifying  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$  with the induction of  $F_e$  from the trivial group to  $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$  then gives a map in the reverse direction

$$\varprojlim (F_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \rightarrow \left[ \varprojlim ((F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right]^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})}.$$

These two maps are inverses to each other, which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 8.6.** The definition of  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -module given above should be regarded as preliminary for at least two reasons. The first reason is that the base ring  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$  is most likely too big to be the correct choice for motives other than Artin motives. An alternative construction, which accounts more carefully for the map to Fontaine's de Rham period ring, is suggested in [9, Definition 4.3]. The second reason, as we will see below, is that the functor from Artin motives to  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -modules is not fully faithful.

In future work, we hope to produce a theory of *global*  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -modules which will combine the approach here with the approach in [9], and which will further replace the  $p$ -typical Witt vectors here with big Witt vectors, so as to treat all nonarchimedean norms simultaneously. Proposition 8.11 below suggests that this global analogue will yield a fully faithful functor to  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -modules.

**Theorem 8.7.** *The functor*

$$\underline{D} : V \rightsquigarrow \left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V \right)^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})}$$

*is a faithful functor from the category of Artin motives over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with coefficients in  $K$  to the category of  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -modules.*

**Remark 8.8.** Example 8.9 below shows that in general, this faithful functor is not fully faithful.

*Proof.* We saw in Theorem 8.5 that this functor  $\underline{D}$  does take values in the intended category; here we check that this functor is faithful. Let  $\underline{T}$  denote the functor

$$\left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K \right) \otimes_{\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K} -$$

from  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ -modules to  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ -modules. To show that  $\underline{D}$  is faithful, it suffices to show that the composite  $\underline{T} \circ \underline{D}$  is faithful. We saw in the proof of Theorem 8.5 that this composition of functors was equivalent to

$$V \rightsquigarrow \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V.$$

If  $V$  is a vector space over a field of characteristic 0, then  $V/\mathbb{Z}$  is flat and so the map

$$V \rightarrow \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V, \text{ given by } v \mapsto 1 \otimes v,$$

is injective. Thus if  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : V \rightarrow V'$  are two different morphisms of Artin motives, and say  $\phi_1(v) \neq \phi_2(v)$ , then

$$\underline{T} \circ \underline{D}(\phi_1)(1 \otimes v) = 1 \otimes \phi_1(v) \neq 1 \otimes \phi_2(v) = \underline{T} \circ \underline{D}(\phi_2)(1 \otimes v).$$

This shows that  $\underline{D}$  is faithful in the specific case that the coefficients are characteristic 0.

Essentially the same proof works in characteristic  $p$ . If  $\phi_1(v) \neq \phi_2(v)$ , then we can check that  $1 \otimes \phi_1(v) \neq 1 \otimes \phi_2(v)$  by checking that they have different images in

$$\left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) / p \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} V',$$

for which we use that  $V'$  injects into this ring, again by flatness.  $\square$

**Example 8.9.** We work out a specific example which shows that in general, the functor from Theorem 8.7 is not full. Consider the case  $p = 5$  and  $V$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  equipped with the obvious  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -action. In the notation of Theorem 8.7, we may take  $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ . We claim that multiplication by  $[i \otimes 1] \otimes i$  is a  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -module endomorphism of

$$\left( \varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(i) \right)^{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})}$$

which is not induced by an Artin motive endomorphism of  $V = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ . This will show that the functor in question is not full.

We first show that multiplication by  $[i \otimes 1] \otimes i$  does map  $\left( \varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(i) \right)^{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})}$  into itself. For varying  $j$ , let  $r_j$  denote elements of  $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  and let  $v_j$  denote elements of our Artin motive  $V$ . If  $\sum r_j \otimes v_j$  is fixed by the non-identity automorphism  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$ , then so is  $[i \otimes 1] \otimes i$ , as the following computation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( [i \otimes 1] \otimes i \sum r_j \otimes v_j \right) &= -[i \otimes 1] \otimes -i \sum \tau(r_j) \otimes \tau(v_j) \\ &= [i \otimes 1] \otimes i \sum \tau(r_j) \otimes \tau(v_j) \\ &= [i \otimes 1] \otimes i \sum r_j \otimes v_j. \end{aligned}$$

We next show that multiplication by  $[i \otimes 1] \otimes i$  commutes with  $\varphi$ . It suffices to show that the ghost components of  $\varphi^{-1}([i \otimes 1]w)$  and  $[i \otimes 1]\varphi^{-1}(w)$  are equal, and this follows immediately from the fact that the ghost components of  $[i \otimes 1]$  are

$$(i \otimes 1, (i \otimes 1)^5, (i \otimes 1)^{25}, \dots) = (i \otimes 1, i \otimes 1, i \otimes 1, \dots).$$

To complete the proof that  $[i \otimes 1] \otimes i$  is a  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -module endomorphism of

$$\left( \varprojlim (\mathbb{Q}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(i) \right)^{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})},$$

we should check  $\Gamma$ -equivariance, but this is obvious because  $[i \otimes 1] \otimes i$  is 1 in the only coordinate on which  $\Gamma$  acts.

We now have a  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -module endomorphism, and we will show that it is not induced by an Artin motive endomorphism of  $V$ . Consider its action

$$[1 \otimes 1] \otimes 1 \mapsto [i \otimes 1] \otimes i.$$

We claim that no Artin motive endomorphism of  $V$  can have this effect. It is easy to see that an Artin motive endomorphism of  $V = \mathbb{Q}(i)$  must have the form  $r + si \mapsto ar + bsi$  for some scalars  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus we are reduced to showing that

$$[i \otimes 1] \otimes i \neq [1 \otimes 1] \otimes a$$

for any choice of scalar  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ . By projecting to the first Witt component, we would like to show that

$$i \otimes 1 \otimes i \neq 1 \otimes 1 \otimes a \in \mathbb{Q}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(i).$$

For example, these elements have different images in  $\mathbb{Q}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(i)$ .

**Remark 8.10.** The problem that was exploited in the preceding example is that, in general,

$$\left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \right)^{\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma} \neq \mathbb{Z}.$$

In the above example,  $[i \otimes 1]$  was a  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -invariant. The following proposition identifies these invariants explicitly.

**Proposition 8.11.** *Let  $F_0$  denote the maximal Galois subextension of  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  in which  $p$  splits completely. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$  denote its ring of integers, and let  $\mathcal{O}_{F_0, (p)}$  denote its localization at  $(p)$ . Then*

$$\left( \varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \right)^{\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma} \cong \mathcal{O}_{F_0, (p)}.$$

*Proof.* The ghost components of an invariant must all be equal to some single element in  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$  (by  $\varphi^{-1}$ -invariance). The  $\Gamma$ -invariants of  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$  are precisely  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \cong F$  (to see this, choose a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $F$  and use the corresponding isomorphism  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}} \cong \oplus \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$ ). Thus we would like to determine, for which elements  $f \in F$ , is  $(f \otimes 1, f \otimes 1, \dots)$  in the image of  $\varprojlim (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  under the ghost map.

Assume  $f \in F$  is such that  $(f, f, \dots)$  is the image of some element  $\underline{x}$  in  $\varprojlim (F)$  under the ghost map. Choose  $b \geq 1$  for which  $\underline{x} \in \varprojlim^b (F)$ ; by Lemma 6.8, we also have  $\underline{x} \in \varprojlim^{b'} (F)$  for  $b' = \max\{b/p, 1\}$ . It follows that  $\underline{x} \in \varprojlim^1 (F)$ . Let  $\widehat{F}$  denote the completion of  $F$  at some fixed prime above  $p$ . We have

$$\varprojlim^1 (F) \subseteq \varprojlim^1 (\widehat{F}) \subseteq \varprojlim^1 (\mathbb{C}_p) \cong \varprojlim^1 \left( \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} \mathbb{C}_p \right),$$

where the last isomorphism is a consequence of Theorem 6.7. Abbreviate  $\varprojlim \mathbb{C}_p$  by  $\tilde{E}$ . Because  $\tilde{E}$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ , by Theorem 6.2, we have a further isomorphism  $\varprojlim^1 (\tilde{E}) \cong W^1(\tilde{E})$ . The above maps are all  $\varphi^{-1}$ -compatible,

and so we deduce that our element  $\underline{x} \in \left( \varprojlim^1 (F) \right)^{\varphi^{-1}}$  corresponds to an element in  $W(\tilde{E})^{\varphi^{-1}} = W(\tilde{E}^{\varphi^{-1}}) = W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

Assume  $F$  is a number field. The field  $F_0$  (as in the statement of the proposition) is the largest subfield of  $F$  with the property that, for every prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  above  $p$ , the image of  $F_0$  in the completion  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The previous paragraph shows that our invariant element  $f$  is an element of  $F_0$ . On the other hand, it further has

the property that its image is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for every prime above  $p$ , so in fact the element  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{O}_{F_0, (p)}$ .

Conversely, we would like to show that for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{F_0, (p)}$ , the element  $(f \otimes 1, f \otimes 1, \dots)$  is in the image of  $\left(\varprojlim^\dagger(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})\right)^{\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma}$  under the ghost map. Clearly an element of  $\varprojlim^\dagger(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  with the above ghost components is invariant under  $\varphi^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma$ , so it suffices to check that the element  $(f \otimes 1, f \otimes 1, \dots)$  is in the image of  $\varprojlim^\dagger(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  under the ghost map.

For  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{F_0, (p)}$ , we can find a unique element  $\underline{x} \in \varprojlim(F)$  with ghost components  $(f, f, \dots)$ ; we will show that  $\underline{x}$  is in fact an element of  $\varprojlim^\dagger(F)$ . We know that for every completion  $\hat{F}$  of  $F$  at a place above  $p$ , the image of  $\underline{x}$  in  $\varprojlim(\hat{F})$  lies in  $\varprojlim(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{F}}) \subseteq \varprojlim^\dagger(\hat{F})$ . Because our norm on  $F$  corresponds to the supremum of the  $p$ -adic norms on the various completions  $\hat{F}$ , we deduce that  $\underline{x} \in \varprojlim^\dagger(F)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 8.12.** In future work, we will construct an analogue  $\varprojlim^\dagger(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  of  $\varprojlim^\dagger(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})$  which uses big Witt vectors instead of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors, and which uses as norm the supremum over (at least) all finite places, instead of the supremum over all places above  $p$ . (The map  $\varphi^{-1}$  in this case should also be replaced by a different Frobenius map for each prime.) For this more global base ring, the analogue of Proposition 8.11 should be

$$\left(\varprojlim^\dagger(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}})\right)^{\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma} \cong \mathcal{O}_L,$$

where  $L$  is the maximal subextension of  $F$  in which *every* prime  $p$  splits completely. In other words, the  $(\varphi^{-1}, \Gamma)$ -invariants should be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It should then follow that the functor

$$V \rightsquigarrow \left(\varprojlim^\dagger(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V\right)^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})}$$

is fully faithful.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Vladimir G. Berkovich. *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, volume 33 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [2] Olivier Brinon and Brian Conrad. CMI summer school notes on  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/notes.pdf>, 2009.
- [3] Christopher Davis and Kiran S. Kedlaya. On the Witt vector Frobenius. <http://www.math.uci.edu/~davis>, 2012.
- [4] Christopher Davis, Andreas Langer, and Thomas Zink. Overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 44(2):197–262, 2011.
- [5] Christopher Davis, Andreas Langer, and Thomas Zink. Overconvergent Witt vectors. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 668:1–34, 2012.
- [6] Gerd Faltings.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(1):255–299, 1988.
- [7] Luc Illusie. Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 12(4):501–661, 1979.
- [8] Kiran S. Kedlaya. Nonarchimedean geometry of Witt vectors. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 209:111–165, 2013.
- [9] Kiran S. Kedlaya. Rational structures and  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules. Available at <http://math.ucsd.edu/~kedlaya/papers/>, 2013.

- [10] Kiran S. Kedlaya and Ruochuan Liu. Relative  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, I: Foundations. <http://math.ucsd.edu/~kedlaya/papers/>, 2011.
- [11] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 116(1):245–313, 2012. <http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/scholze/>.
- [12] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.
- [13] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, DEPT OF MATHEMATICS, IRVINE, CA 92697

*Current address:* University of Copenhagen, Dept of Mathematical Sciences, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 København Ø, Denmark

*E-mail address:* [davis@math.ku.dk](mailto:davis@math.ku.dk)

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, DEPT OF MATHEMATICS, LA JOLLA, CA 92093

*E-mail address:* [kedlaya@ucsd.edu](mailto:kedlaya@ucsd.edu)